



International Trypanotolerance Centre
P. M. B. 14, Banjul, The Gambia, West Africa
Tel : (+220) 446 29 28, Fax: (+220) 446 29 24
Email: itc@itc.gm



**ASSESSMENT OF THE IMPACT OF TRANSHUMANCE ON
THE SUSTAINABLE MANAGEMENT OF ANIMAL GENETIC RESOURCES**

**Under the Funding Strategy for the Implementation
of the Global Plan of Action for Animal genetic Resources (GCP/GLO/287/MUL)**

**EFFECTS OF TRANSHUMANCE ON THE MANAGEMENT OF ENDEMIC
RUMINANT GENETIC RESOURCES IN PROGEBE ZONE
(THE GAMBIA, MALI, SENEGAL, GUINEA)**

Regional Report

Dr. Aimé Landry DONGMO
Regional Consultant

[29/05/2015]

**Regional Project for the Sustainable Management of Endemic
Ruminant Livestock in West Africa (PROGEBE)**



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of contents.....	2
Tables	4
Figures	6
Acronyms and abbreviations.....	7
Executive summary.....	8
I. Introduction	12
1.1. Context	12
1.2. Objectives of the study	13
II. Methodology.....	13
2.1. Prior consultation	13
2.2. Recruitment and training of investigators	14
2.3. Research approach`	14
2.3.1. Choice of the study area	14
2.3.2. Sampling	20
2.3.3. Collection and analysis of data.....	20
III. Results	21
3.1. Population and SPECIES of ruminants per country	21
3.2. Ruminant production systems	25
3.2.1. Pastoral systems	25
3.2.2. Agropastoral systems.....	25
3.2.3. Peri-urban systems	26
3.3. General characteristics of livestock farms	27
3.3.1. Herd composition	27
3.3.2. Housing of livestock	27
3.3.3. Feeding systems	27
3.3.4. Mortality and management of animal health	29

3.3.5. Reproduction and crossing of breeds.....	30
3.3.6. Potentialities and capacities of production	31
3.3.7. Destocking of animals	31
3.4. Characteristics of transhumants systems in the host territories	32
3.4.1. Types of transhumance and map flows.....	32
3.4.2. Importance of the PROGEBE project sites in the transhumance.....	35
3.4.3. Characteristics of transhumants hosted in the studied sites	38
3.4.4. Reasons and justification of the departure in transhumance	40
3.4.5. Involvement of actors vis à vis transhumance.....	41
3.4.6. Measures taken by herders before departure on transhumance	43
3.4.7. Terms of transhumance, transit areas and duration.....	44
3.4.8. Period of arrival and departure of transhumant on sites	47
3.4.9. Knowledge and compliance with local management rules by transhumants	48
3.4.10. Intensity of transhumance practiced on sites by non-residents.....	50
3.4.11. Interactions between resident animals and transhumant animals and penetration of Sahelian breeds in the farms located in the ERL territory.....	51
3.4.12. Significant advantages of each breed	55
3.5. Adverse effects of the transhumance	56
3.5.1. Adverses effects on animal ressources.....	56
3.5.2. Adverse effects on natural ressources and forage.....	57
3.5.3. Negative socio-economic effects and conflicts in host sites.....	58
3.6 Positive effects of transhumance.....	60
3.6.1. Effects on production systems and recycling of biomass.....	60
3.6.2 Socio-economic advantages of transhumance in host territories.....	61
3.7. Synthesis Of Factors Affecting Negatively The Conservation Of Erl At Regional Level.....	62
3.8. Proposition of mitigation and risk management measures	63
IV. Conclusion and recommandations	64
V. Bibliography	66

TABLES

Table 1: Characteristics of the sites of the project	16
Table 2: Distribution of surveyed population	20
Table 3: Feeding sources of endemic ruminant livestock in Senegal and the Gambia.....	28
Table 4: Feeding sources of cattle (Cat) and small ruminants (SR) in Mali	28
Table 5 : Mortality rate	29
Table 6: Percentage of herders of reception zones involved in the crossing of animals	30
Table 7: Milk production parameters in the Gambia and Senegal	31
Table 8: Cumulative number of animals removed from households or herds in Mali and Guinea	32
Table 9: Major role of municipalities on transhumance in Mali	37
Table 10: Origin of transhumants arriving in the studied sites in Senegal	38
Table 11: Views of resident herders (%) on the breeds arriving for transhumance in the Gambia	39
Table 12: Opinion of the village chiefs (%) on the breeds arriving in the Gambia	39
Table 13: Origin of transhumants according to the respondents in studied sites in Mali	40
Table 14: Species arriving in transhumance in the studied sites in Mali.....	40
Table 15: Proportion (%) of actors involved in transhumance in the Gambia	41
Table 16: Reasons justifying the non-involvement of people in the management of transhumance in Senegal	42
Table 17: Modes of involvement in the transhumance.....	42
Table 18: Percentage of actors involved in the local management of transhumance in Mali	43
Table 19: Season of arrival of transhumant in the sites surveyed in Mali	47
Table 20: Intensity of transhumance in Mali according to herders surveyed (%) in the sites of Mali.....	50
Table 21: Modes of integration of other breeds in the herd in Senegal	52
Table 22 : Number of years passed since introduction of non-ERL breeds in the farm in Mali	52
Table 23: Mode of introduction of Non-ERL ruminants in Mali.....	53
Table 24: Reasons of the adoption of non ERL animals in the municipalities of Mali.....	53

Table 25: Main advantages and disadvantages of ruminants breeds according to the view of herders in Mali	55
Table 26 : Advantages and disadvantages of N'Dama according to the opinion of herders in Guinea	55
Table 27 : Disadvantages of transhumance on animal resources (ERL) according to herders of Guinea ..	57
Table 28 : Opinion of herders on the negative effects of transhumance in Guinea	57
Table 29 : Socioeconomic disadvantages of transhumance according to actors in Guinea	59
Table 30: Advantages of transhumance according to actors surveyed in Guinea	61

FIGURES

Figure 1: Indicative map of the project sites (source: PROGEBE).....	15
Figure 2: Ruminant livestock distribution in 2010/2011 in PROGEBE countries	21
Figure 3: Main agroecological units in Mali.....	22
Figure 4 : Transhumance flows in PROGEBE countries.....	35

ACRONYMS AND ABBREVIATIONS

ASUFOR: Association of drillings' users

ERL: Endemic Ruminant Livestock

ECOWAS: Economic Community of West African States

CBPP: Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia

DIREL: Department of Livestock, Senegal

DNPIA: National Department of animal productions and industrie, Mali

FAO: Food and Agriculture Organization

ISRA: Senegalese Institute for Agricultural Research

ITC: International Trypanotolerance Centre

AOL: Agricultural Orientation Law

LUP: Land Use Plan

TLU: Tropical Livestock Unit

EAP: Environmental Action Plan

PROGEBE: Regional Project on Sustainable Management of Endemic Ruminant Livestock in West Africa

POAS: Plan of Occupation and Utilization of Soils

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The West and Central Africa is an important zone for rearing ruminants that are made up of about 91 millions of cattle, 157 millions of goats and 109 millions of sheep, respectively 36%, 55% and 52% of the livestock of sub-Saharan Africa. However, in the humid and sub humid zones endowed with better fodder resources of this region, the potential of production of ruminants is compromised seriously by the parasitic diseases such as trypanosomiasis, endoparasitosis and dermatophilosis (Murray Trail et al., 1984; Osaer et al., 1999; Snow et al., 1996).

The endemic ruminant livestock (ERL) endowed with the capacity to resist parasites while adapting to environmental constraints constitute the principal means of valuing pastoral resources and as the main source of income for breeders in these humid and sub humid zones. However, the conservation of the ERL in its natural habitat today is threatened by the influx of nomadic herders with their Sahelian breeds or breeds of livestock. The situation is very preoccupying in the area of operation of PROGEBE, with about 72.8% of the ERL cattle livestock and 20% of ERL small ruminant livestock of West and Central Africa.

The present assessment is part of the portfolio of projects approved under the first call for proposals of the trust fund established by FAO to finance the implementation of the global Plan of Action for zoo-genetic resources for the biennial 2013- 2014 (GCP/GLO/287/MUL). According to the agreement signed in March 2014 between ITC and FAO, the FAO will put at the disposal of ITC a total amount not above 100 000 US dollars in cash and the PROGEBE will provide a direct contribution in cash of 20 000 US dollars and in-kind contribution estimated at 30 000 US dollars.

The survey was carried out through a participatory approach using investigations and interviews of those taking part in transhumance (breeders; key actors; agents of the technical services in charge of breeding, forests, water and the environment; community leaders and regional institutions, etc.), and inter-actors workshops.

The study enabled the identification of the principal factors that negatively affect conservation of ERL on the short and long-term bases:

1. The absence of rules and regulations and transboundary control of transhumance. This absence increases the risk of introduction of the damaging transnational animal diseases fatal to the ERL.

2. The limited control of the reproduction of the animals. Indeed, in the majority of ranches, this absence of reproduction control associated to the proximity of the animals at the drinking points facilitates the accidental crossings between the Sahelien and ERL breeds.
3. The perception of indigenous breeders on the superiority of the sahelien breeds in terms of their production potential and level of profitability relative to the ERL race. A larger proportion of indigenous breeders try to cross their ERL with the sahelien breeds to improve their productive potentials. Yet, several expert hypotheses agree on the fact that the ERL are globally more profitable than the sahelien breeds if one considers the whole operating system (while integrating their low morbidity), and they would be more profitable if the paths of merchandising were better structured and reinforced.
4. The conversion of the surrounding humid and sub humid savannas constitutes an important factor encouraging the influx or even the *sedentarisation* of the transhumant breeders of sahelien origin, and the adoption of the Sahelian ruminant breeds or crossbreds by the local populations.
5. The problem of overgrazing, the bad clearing practices, abusive felling of trees and use of bush fires favours pollution and deterioration of natural resources.
6. The absence of a specialized and well-structured economic department on the assessment of the ERL and the products (meat and animals on foot) from the rearing of ERL constitutes a big limit to their conservation and development.
7. The massive arrival of the transhumants increases tensions on resources and engenders conflicts between the transhumants and the natives.
8. The tools of local management and participative development (POAS, local conventions, committees of follow-up of the transhumance) are weakly used in the studied sites.
9. The policies of management of animal genetic resources including those relative to protection / conservation of the endemic breeds, of the equitable and sustainable management of resources are approximated or incomplete.
10. The West African regulation on trans-border transhumance, and notably the action plan of the ECOWAS on transhumance adopted in 2011 is not yet sufficiently enforced nor integrated in the local arrangements of management of natural resources.

11. To master these factors of risks and to attenuate the negative effects that they have on the conservation of the ERL, the set of tools available at the regional level (action plan of ECOWAS), national level (national policies, national plans applicable to transhumance, sectorial laws and regulations on the management of space and resources) and local (POAS, local conventions, follow-up committees), must be mobilized and supplementary actions put in place to facilitate their application to the local level.
12. More equitable mechanisms must also be put in place to include the transhumants in the phases of creation and management of resources (more specifically new water points) in order to avoid the tensions that already exist around the present water points. These tensions limit / blocks collaborations between the actors of transhumance.

The principal operational actions to put in place to control and attenuate the negative effects of transhumance on the conservation of ERL stand on:

1. The diffusion, revitalization, dissemination and application of the rules and existing management tools: PAOS (plans of affectation and occupation of soils); forest code, local conventions; LOA (agricultural orientation law); pastoral charter; reception committee of transhumants; fire brigade against bush fires; participative disposition for sanitary surveillance of transhumant livestock.
2. The development of avenues for dialogue between the transhumants and the local community leaders of the reception zones for the co-management of transhumance (agreements on the dates and the itineraries of transhumance, facilitation of the access of the transhumant to the crop residues and grazing in the dry season, access of the natives to manure of transhumant animals, etc.).
3. The implication of local and administrative authorities and technical services in the departure zones of transhumant to sensitize them better before they leave and in the reception zones to improve the local management of the transhumance.
4. The putting in place and/or the building of capacities of the processes for the follow-up of transhumant in the zones of departure, on transit and in the reception zones.
5. The sensitization and the implication of the transhumant breeders on the management of the environment (fight against bush fires, fight against the abusive felling of trees and the bad practices of pruning the trees and branches).

6. The putting in place of disease control and prevention systems to secure the transhumance. In this light, a veterinary certificate providing proof of the vaccination of the herd against the preoccupying illnesses could be required from the transhumant.
7. The improvement of the availability of water (creation/development of water points), fodder (pastoral amenities, cultured fodder, better collection and conservation of crop residues), and the setting up of a management/regulation system taking into account the holding capacity of the different types of resources.
8. The development of tracks for livestock to facilitate the movement of the animals toward the sites of grazing and drinking, in order to reduce the damages on crops and on other resources, and to limit the sectorial conflicts.
9. The creation of agencies for the promotion of ERL and the products and services coming from their rearing.
10. The diffusion and rigorous application of the regulations on the exploitation of natural resources.

The putting in place of these actions must come with an improvement of the political, socioeconomic and technical setting of intervention of the projects aiming for the conservation of ERL and the durability of the ERL rearing systems.

To do this, the following recommendations are addressed to the decision makers and to their partners:

1. Implementing the existing cooperation frameworks in ECOWAS to better coordinate zootechnical and health policies related to the ruminant livestock sector
2. Making mandatory the implementation of legal texts and the dissemination/exploitation of existing management tools: PAOS (land use plans and land use); forestry code, local conventions; AOL (Agriculture orientation law); pastoral charter; committees welcoming transhumants; Brigades fighting against bush fires; participatory systems of monitoring the health of transhumant livestock; etc.
3. Organizing actors, strengthening their capacities and creating consultative frameworks to better manage transhumance at different scales (territory, municipality, value chain).
4. Improving the availability and quality of resources and agro-infrastructures;
5. Supporting specific studies and action research contributing to the sustainable management of ERL, resources, territories and value chains related to ERL production systems.

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1. CONTEXT

The West and Central Africa is an important zone for rearing ruminants that are made up of about 91 millions of cattle, 157 millions of goats and 109 millions of sheep, respectively 36%, 55% and 52% of the livestock of sub-Saharan Africa (2013 data: FAOStat, 2015). However, in its humid and subhumid zones endowed with better forage resources, the potential for ruminant production is seriously compromised by parasitic diseases such as trypanosomiasis, endoparasitosis and dermatophilosis (Murray and Trail, 1984; Osaer et al., 1999; Snow et al., 1996, Wilson, 2007).

The endemic ruminant livestock (ERL) with capacities of resistance to parasites and environmental constraints is the main way of exploitation of pasture resources and the main source of income for herders of wetland and subhumid zones (Wilson, 2007; Murray and Trail, 1984; Osaer et al., 1999; Snow et al., 1996). In West and Central Africa, ERL is made of several species and breeds: N'Dama cattle; West African dwarf goats and Djallonké sheep, which represent 21%, 32% and 47% of the total herd of cattle, sheep and goats in this area (Agyemang, 2000).

However, ERL conservation in its natural habitat is now threatened by the influx of nomadic herders with their Sahelian breeds. This transhumance is national and cross-border for small ruminants as well as cattle. According to Diop et al (2013), 70% to 90% of the Sahelian cattle herds rely on this system of production.

The situation is very worrying in PROGEBE intervention areas with about 73% of the cattle ERL and 20% of the small ruminants ERL of the West and Central Africa regions (Hoste et al., 1988).

The PROGEBE was established to contribute to in-situ conservation of endemic ruminant livestock, especially its unique genetic traits and habitat in an area that encompasses the east of the Gambia, the south and south-east of Senegal, the west and south of Mali, and the central and south of Guinea. In these areas, the stakeholders and experts are of the view that the breeding and conservation of ERL breeds in their original habitat are facing several constraints including:

- Dilution of the genetic potential of the ERL and its resilience to disease following crosses with Sahelian breeds of ruminants.
- The destruction and degradation of natural habitat, a situation that reduces the availability of forage and water for ERL breeds in the dry season.
- Increasing interest of some herders for Sahelian breeds that they consider being more productive and profitable than ERL breeds.
- Poor control and lack of coordination of transboundary movements of ruminants, which leads to health, social and economic problems.

- The frequent occurrence of diseases such as blackleg, haemorrhagic septicemia, helminthiasis, trypanosomiasis and neonatal calf diarrhea are the main causes of mortality, morbidity, and reduction of livestock production and productivity.
- The problems of coordination, of local adaptation and of implementation of various regulatory measures that could be helpful for the sustainable management of transhumance and agro-pastoral resources at national and regional levels.

However, the documented informations on these constraints as well as the solutions actually proposed are still missing. The scale of the threat is therefore very imprecise.

The Study on "Assessment of Impacts of transhumance on the sustainable management of animal genetic resources" is one of the regional projects approved under the first call for proposals of the trust fund established by FAO to finance the implementation of the global Plan of Action for animal genetic resources for the biennial 2013- 2014 (GCP/GLO/287/MUL).

1.2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The overall objective of the study is to contribute to a better understanding of the impacts of transhumance on the sustainable management of endemic ruminant livestock in sub-humid areas of the Gambia, Guinea, Mali and Senegal.

The specific objectives of the study are to:

- Characterize the diversity of ERL breeding territories according to agro-ecological criteria and criteria related to the modalities of arrival of transhumants;
- Describe the farming systems including livestock populations, periods of transhumance, the map flows of transhumance;
- Identify the adverse effects of transhumance on the management of animal genetic resources of endemic ruminants;
- Propose the means of control and mitigation of the negative effects of transhumance;
- Make recommendations for the implementation of these measures.

II. METHODOLOGY

2.1. PRIOR CONSULTATION

Based on the conceptual framework developed by the regional consultant of the study, consultations were held firstly between the national consultants and national coordination PROGEBE, and secondly between the national consultants and the regional consultant of the study.

Consultation between the regional consultant and the national consultants focused on four points:

- Sharing the conceptual framework of the study and explanation of relevant indicators to be collected;

- Discussion and adjustment of the methods to meet with the specificities of each country;
- Co-construction of data collection tools;
- Identification of categories of stakeholders to be surveyed in each country.

Consultation with the national coordination of PROGEBE focused on the following key points:

- Procedures of recruitment and training of investigators;
- Sampling of sites, towns, and breeders to investigate;
- Identification of key stakeholders to be investigated: chief of villages, Head of farmers and herders organizations; loggers; head of technical services in charge of livestock, agriculture, environment, water and forests; NGO leaders; etc.;
- Adjustment of the methodology of the study;
- Validation of data collection tools by the national coordinators.

2.2. RECRUITMENT AND TRAINING OF INVESTIGATORS

Investigators were recruited by the PROGEBE team on the basis of terms of reference and trained during 2 days in each country by the national consultant: 5 investigators in Senegal; 7 in Mali, 9 in the Gambia and 14 in Guinea. The purposes of training were to strengthen the capacities of investigators and test the tools of data collection in order to have a common understanding of indicators to be collected and the collection method.

2.3. RESEARCH APPROACH`

2.3.1. Choice of the study area

The study covered the entire PROGEBE intervention area (Figure 1). A sample of sites was chosen for investigations (Table 1).

The sites were chosen in collaborative manner by each national consultant and the national coordinator of the PROGEBE.



Figure 1: Indicative map of the project sites (source: PROGEBE)

The Gambia

The Kiang West district is situated in the Lower River Region, 100 km from the Atlantic coast. It encompasses the largest national park in the Gambia. The vegetation, which is the savannah woodland type, is known to be one of the thickest in the country, an important quantity of fuel wood sales in the capital originate from this district (PROGEBE- Gambia, Baseline Survey 2010). The tsetse challenge is considered as medium (Agyemang *et al.*, 1997). Kiang West is known to be the district with the lowest population density in the Gambia (Jaiteh and Saho, 2006; UNDP, 2007).

The Niamina East district is located in the Central River Region South, 200 km from the Atlantic coast. Vegetation is characterised by woodlands interspersed with open savannah and fresh water swamp (Agyemang *et al.*, 1997). The area is known to have an important cattle population. During the dry season, the abundant vegetation in the swamp attracts numerous transhumant herds from other districts in search of forage and water (PROGEBE-Gambia Baseline Survey 2010). Past surveys ranked this district as an area of high tsetse challenge (Rawlings *et al.*, 1993; UNDP, 2007).

The district of Nianija is situated in the northern part of the Central Rivers Region, 200 km from the Atlantic coast. Nianija is dominated by open savannah vegetation. Precipitation values in this district are the lowest in the Gambia (UNDP, 2007).

The detailed socio-economic and ecological characteristics of these districts can be seen in the national report of transhumance study (Daffeh Kebba, 2014).

Regarding livestock production systems, it is worth noting that several changes have occurred on the livestock number between the 1993 and 2009 census. In Niamina, there was a decline in the number of all the 3 species. Cattle numbers decline by 1.7% while sheep and goat numbers declined by 10.8% and 11.5% respectively. In Nianija, all the 3 species increased with sheep numbers increasing by 232%, goats by 193% and cattle by 83%. In Kiang West, cattle numbers increased by 103%, goat numbers by 5.2% while sheep numbers declined by 19.6% (PROGEBE-Gambia Baseline survey 2010).

Senegal

The site of Bandafassi includes several rural communities (Bandafassi Dindefelo and Ninéfécha) belonging to the district of Bandafassi located in the Department of Kedougou within the Region of Kedougou in Senegal. This site knows two forms of transhumance:

- The small transhumance whose amplitude is less than 5 km takes place around large ponds during the rainy season (June to October) and extends to the end of harvest period (January). It also used to keep cattle away from cropping areas.
- The great transhumance is recent and is practiced from December to June. Transhumant pastoralists who own large herds of small ruminants often come from the departments of Matam, Podor, Linguère, Bakel and even from Mali. This form of transhumance is recent on the Bandafassi site because before the 2000s, the first transhumants were not able to cross the park, fearing wildlife and repression from forest guards.

Table 1: Characteristics of the sites of the project

(a)

	The Gambia				Senegal		
	Source	Kiang West	Niamina East	Nianija	Source	Bandafassi	Ouassadou
People	[1]	14610	19320	8305	[1]	21392	16017
Number of households	[1]	1646	1949	701	[1]	2442	1650
Size of household	[1]	8.56	10,43	10.43	[1]	9	10
Rainfall (mm)		884	660	650	[2]	1192	1015
Number of cattle	[2]	10716	6530	5932	[3]	20999	9 805
	[3]	9269	9058	6811			
Number of sheep	[2]	1626	5417	3320		7121	3203
	[3]	1580	4316	1683			
Number of goats	[2]	7320	5086	3592		4459	5862
	[3]	7667	5409	3727			

(b)

	Mali					Guinea			
	Source	Madina Diassa	Manankoro	Sagabary	Tousséguéla [4]	Source	Beyla	Dinguiraye	Gaoual
People	[1]	26297	37711	16386	34314	[1]	25161	56559	36168
Number of households	[1]	3991	5516	2217	4 985	[1]	4099	8946	5367
Size of household	[1]	6,6	7,4	6,8	49	[1]	6,8	6,8	7,0
Rainfall (mm)	[1]	1100 - 1400	1000 - 1200	950 - 1200	1100 - 1400				
Number of cattle	[2]	130566	180123	164111	37075	[2]	34484	66717	103349
	[3]	92920	101278	27200		[3]	18917	57727	72526
Number of sheep	[2]	38491	88600	134632	9432	[2]	8087	16038	11813
	[3]	28800	3111	9689		[3]	3083	27818	14105
Number of goats	[2]	42585	88579	107482	5465	[2]	4344	12662	17 574
	[3]	29200	36167	9333		[3]	2542	15273	21579

Source : Guinea : [1] Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat, 1996 ; [2] Recensement National du Cheptel 2000 (Direction Nationale de l'Elevage, 2000) ; [3] Estimations à partir des données d'enquêtes de base PROGEBE

The Gambia : [1] : Gambia Bureau of Statistics (2003); [2] et [3]: respective data of (PROGEBE Livestock Census, 2013)

Senegal : [1] : Agence Nationale de la Statistique et de la Démographie, ANSD ; [2] : FAO/Portail d'information sur l'état de la terre et des ressources en eau et nutrition des plantes ; [3] : PROGEBE/Sénégal

Mali : [1] : Recensement Général de la Population et de l'Habitat - RGPH (April 1998) ; [2] FAO - Ministère de l'Agriculture Mali, 2005a (ces chiffres comprennent les concessions et les fermes commerciales et sont donc plus élevés qu'on trouve dans d'autres sources) ; [3] Estimations des enquêtes de Baseline PROGEBE ; [4] GAGE (2011).

The site of Ouassadou is located in the Kolda region, department of Velingara and more precisely in the district of Pakour. Its climate is of sudano-guinean type, with a wet season that extends from May to October. Nowadays, transhumance is weakly practiced at Ouassadou.

During the rainy season, animals are managed on pastures by shepherds recruited for this purpose by agro pastoralists.

During the dry season, the animals are usually left on free grazing system and the farmer only intervenes to supply water.

Small transhumance concerns only a few people living near the border (Breeders of Sare Hamady and some of Sare Ansou) who at the end of winter drive their cattle to Guinea-Bissau in order to better feed and water them.

Mali

The sites of Madina Diassa, Manankoro, Tousseguela and Sagabari are among the most important pastoral areas of Mali where agricultural and pastoral activities are closely linked. The base of the local economy is agriculture (production of rice, maize and sorghum), livestock and gathering. With the increase of population by immigration of non-native farmers, the management of the space has become difficult and conflicts between different users have become recurrent. The adverse effects of transhumance are quite noticeable on natural resources including soil, surface water, ligneous and herbaceous plants. The local cattle faces the competition of thousands of transhumants cattle who visit the area every year.

Some various local initiatives contribute to a sustainable and harmonized management of natural resources. These initiatives include the regional plan for pastoral development, the development plan and management of reserved forests and protected areas of Bougouni-Yanfolila, the development of the management plan for the Galle-Limakolé complex in the vicinity of the action site of Sagabari and the Environmental Action Plan (EAP) of Gadougou1 municipality (under development), the programme of development of municipalities (under development), the local conventions for natural resource management (ongoing adoption).

These sites have several features:

- The site of Madina Diassa covers an area of over 321,600 hectares and has a population of about 35 371 inhabitants distributed among 51 villages.
- The site of Manankoro has an estimated area of over 430 000 ha and a population of about 30,000 inhabitants distributed among 55 villages. It runs entirely in the territory of two rural municipalities (Garalo and Sibirila) and is home to most of the forest resources of Bougouni. The resident livestock was estimated at 63,818 cattle and 51,970 sheep / goats (POAS, 2011).
- The site of Tousseguela has an estimated area of 154,700 ha and a population of about 34,314 inhabitants distributed among 22 villages. It covers the soils of two rural municipalities

(Tousseguela and Fakola) with the particularity that the two municipalities are not contiguous. The resident cattle of the site is estimated at 37,075 cattle, 9,432 sheep and 5,465 goats (POAS; 2011).

- The site of Sagabary covers an estimated area of approximately 149,600 hectares and has a population of about 18,000 inhabitants distributed among 17 villages. Resident cattle is estimated at 16,856 cattle and 7,312 sheep / goats (POAS, 2011).

Guinea

The site of Gaoual is the main primary site PROGEBE Guinea. It is located in northwest on the border between Guinea and Guinea-Bissau. The agro pastoralism is practiced by 85% of the population. PROGEBE was involved in the rural communes of Koumbia and Kounsitel. Koumbia is the largest breeding area of the country with a herd estimated at more than 160,000 cattle, 22,000 sheep and 50,000 goats for about 1150 herders. Koumbia has several plains whose total area is approximately 1610 ha. These plains are highly coveted by the herders from the south (Missira, Téliimélé) and north (Koundara). The pressure on rangelands makes that in dry season many herders of Koumbia and Missira move westward (Guinea-Bissau) in search of pasture and water.

The sub-prefecture of Wendou Mborou located in the west of Koumbia on a vast homogeneous weakly watered plateau, is a great staging area for the transhumants from Missira (Téliimélé). It hosts more than 10 000 cattle in transit per year.

The Kounsitel rural municipality is situated at the east of Koumbia and is not watered. Its population is estimated at more than 35,000 cattle, 39,000 sheep and 10,000 goats owned by more than 900 herders.

The Dinguiraye site is the second primary site of PROGEBE in Guinea. It is part of the major livestock areas of the country. It covers an area of 3,825 km², with a density of 22 inhabitants per km². It counts more than 150,000 cattle, 21,000 sheep and 16,000 goats. The PROGEBE is involved in the urban municipality of Dinguiraye and rural municipalities of Sélouma and Kalinko totaling 79,762 cattle, 46,966 sheep and 9,665 goats.

The transhumance practiced is internal and involves firstly the localities of Sélouma and Kouroussa in the east, and also the towns of Tamoun (Dabola) in the south and Dinguiraye-centre in the north.

Another line of transhumance is taken by herders between the tray of Diafouna and the alluvial plains of Tinkisso River in the south within Dinguiraye-Centre. A total of 13,764 head of cattle is implied in this long trip of 40 km.

Beyla is the third primary site of PROGEBE in Guinea. The prefecture has enormous potentialities of resources for livestock (9 months of rain per year). With those potentialities Beyla is the first breeding prefecture of the pre-forested region and also the main target area for pastoralists arriving from neighboring areas including Ivory Coast and Mali.

Beyla has a herd of 134,000 cattle, 27,000 sheep and 38,000 goats and the PROGEBE intervenes only in the municipalities of Beyla-Centre, Diarraguéréla, Moussadou and Samana who accumulate a population of 56,512 cattle, 7,816 sheep and 15 275 goats for about 2,500 herders.

Given the magnitude of livestock movement around the site Beyla characterized by an influx of zebu cattle from Mali and Ivory Coast, the surveys have been extended to other rural municipalities of Beyla (Boola and Nionsomoridou) and some areas of the Lola prefecture (Laine and Foubadou) located in the south.

Mandiana/Siguiri is a secondary site of PROGEBE that is located in north-east of Guinea and neighboring Mali and Ivory Coast. The economic and social development activities are based on agriculture, livestock, fishing, crafts, trade and gold panning. With population increase due to the massive influx of miners from all walks, the management of pastoral areas is becoming increasingly difficult with recurring conflicts between different users. This site also receives many breeders zebu from Mali and Ivory Coast.

2.3.2. Sampling

According to the conceptual framework of the study and the prior consultations held between the regional consultant, the national consultants and the national coordinators of PROGEBE, the study area was circumscribed by selecting intervention sites of PROGEBE and also some sites situated outside when it was relevant. The choice was oriented to assess both the departure territories and the host territories of transhumants. The respondents were chosen according to their professional category and also with aim to highlight the diversity of views (Table 2).

Table 2: Distribution of surveyed population

	Senegal	The Gambia	Mali	Guinea
Number of villages inside the project sites	23	92	80	194
Number of villages outside the project sites	6	10	3	68
Total number of breeders	200	578	216	479
Total number of key actors	33	89	21	349

2.3.3. Collection and analysis of data

The secondary data were collected and synthesized from the literature of regional and national units of PROGEBE coordination. Other documentary sources available in each country or accessible via the Internet were also exploited.

A team of investigators trained and supervised by national consultants collected primary data. They were collected from herders and key contacts through various tools:

- A survey applied to herders in reception zones
- A survey applied to herders in starting zones
- An interview guide applied to resource persons

The surveys were conducted individually with each breeder (Senegal, The Gambia, and Guinea) or with focus groups (Mali). Interviews with resource persons were conducted individually. Data analysis was based on descriptive statistics (averaging, frequency, etc.).

The results of the analysis and the information from the national stakeholders' workshop on transhumance were used to elaborate national reports in each country by national consultants (Thiam Bayo, 2014; Kebba Daffeh, 2014; Konate Mamary, 2014, Hassane Diallo, 2015). These national data as well as report of the regional workshop of stakeholders have been exploited to develop the regional report.

III. RESULTS

3.1. POPULATION AND SPECIES OF RUMINANTS PER COUNTRY

The total stock of PROGEBE countries is estimated at about 16 million cattle, 18.5 million sheep and 22.5 million goats (DIREL, 2012; DNPIA, 2010 Agricultural Census of the Gambia, 2011/2012). The Mali owns the great part of this regional herd that is mostly made of Sahelian breeds.




Figure 2: Ruminant livestock distribution in 2010/2011 in PROGEBE countries

Mali

The ruminant livestock was estimated in 2012 at 9,721,327 cattle, 13,081,451 sheep and 18,216,006 goats (DNPIA, 2012). Mopti region has the largest number of cattle with 28% of the national total, while Gao has the largest number of sheep and goats with 20.34% and 19.33% respectively of the national herd.

Apart from the Kidal region located in the Saharan zone and Bamako located in urban area who respectively rely on 1% and less than 1% of livestock, cattle is well distributed in other regions of Mali (Table 1). This livestock therefore covers the agro-ecological diversity of the country (Figure 3).

Table 1 : Population of animals (heads) per species and per region in December 2012.

Regions	Number of heads			%		
	Cattle	Sheep	Goats	Cattle	Sheep	Goats
Kayes	1035321	1514832	1533788	10,65%	11,58%	8,42%
Koulikoro	1395009	1092301	1932719	14,35%	8,35%	10,61%
Sikasso	1549580	970644	1162180	15,94%	7,42%	6,38%
Ségou	1096567	1142011	1808849	11,28%	8,73%	9,93%
Mopti	2721972	2412220	3477436	28,00%	18,44%	19,09%
Tombouctou	983799	1656111	2746974	10,12%	12,66%	15,08%
Gao	837978	2660766	3521154	8,62%	20,34%	19,33%
Kidal	69021	1582855	2001939	0,71%	12,10%	10,99%
Bamako	32080	49710	30968	0,33%	0,38%	0,17%
Total	9721327	13081450	18216007	100,00%	100,00%	100,00%

Source : DNPIA, 2012




Figure 3: Main agroecological units in Mali

The cattle population is very diverse in terms of breeds:

- The N'Dama cattle is encountered with other breeds at Bougouni, Yanfolila, Kenieba and southern Kita.
- The crossbred Mere, from crossing N'Dama and Zebu, is found in the Kaarta, the Beledougou, the Mande and Miankala.
- The Fulani Zebu is found in the Macina and the regions of Nara and Nioro and in the loop of Niger and the Nigerien central plateau. Currently, with the movement of cattle population, the Fulani zebu area extends to the extreme south of the country in the circle of Kadiolo.

- The Moors zebu is encountered along the border with Mauritania, the Niger, in the area of Goundam and inside the Delta.
- The Tuareg zebus are mainly found in "Boucle du Niger" at the north of the central Delta of Niger (Niafunké, Goundam) and on the Nigerian central plateau.
- The Azawak zebu is found in the circle of Menaka.

The sheep population consists of the following breeds:

- Dwarf sheep represented by the Djallonke spread throughout West Africa below the 14th parallel, which is found in southern Mali, Guinea, Senegal, Niger, Ivory Coast, Burkina Faso and Benin
- The Fulani sheep are mainly raised by the Fulani and include breeds such as Toronké, Samburu and Bali-Bali
- The sheep with wool of the Macina is located in the central Niger Delta, but it encountered in regions of Segou, Mopti and Niamey. The population is estimated at one million heads.
- The Moors sheep with short hair is encountered in the Sub Saharan and Sahelian zone in the north of the 15th parallel.
- The Moors sheep with long hair is generally black hair and is found in the Western Sahel border with Mauritania.
- The Tuareg sheep are found in the Sahelian and Saharan zone of Mali and Niger where they are bred by Tuareg and Moorish tribes. They live in the regions of Timbuktu, east of the habitat of the Moorish breed. They are also found in the "Adrar des Iforhas".

The main goat breeds are:

- The goat of Fouta Djallon (or dwarf goat): It is small (40 to 50 cm) with a weight of 18-20 kg.
- The Sahel goat: It is very prolific, but less susceptible to trypanosomiasis. It provides 0.5 to 1.5 liters of milk per day. The meat is odorless and excellent except for the male.

Senegal

The Senegal counts 3,379,000 cattle, 5,887,000 sheep and 5,038,000 goats in 2012 (DIREL, 2012 quoted by Mbaye Niang, 2013). The breed structure is as follows (ISRA, 2003):

- Cattle are composed of Gobra zebu (about 43%), of N'Dama (about 36%) and Métis Djakore (about 21%) from the cross between Gobra and N'Dama.
- Sheep are represented by the Sahelian sheep (73%) and West African dwarf sheep (27%).
- Goats are composed of Sahelian breeds (66%) and goat Guinea (34%).

The breeds are divided in different agro-ecological zones:

- Cattle consist mainly of zebu Gobra located in the north and center of the country, N'Dama located in south and east; Métis Djakore which are taurine-zebu natural cross located at the border between the two breeds (Groundnut Basin and eastern Senegal); and exotic breeds that are more encountered in peri-urban farms or integrated in structured value chains.

- The sheep breeds are: Peul-Peul and Touabire (very popular for the sacrifice of Tabaski) in the north of the 600 mm isohyets, especially in the North and Centre of the country; Djallonké breed in the south and east; Métis and different variants Peul-Touabire (Warle) in the central part of the country.
- The main goat breeds are: the Sahel goat in the northern and central parts of the country; the West African dwarf goat or trypanotolerant Guinean goat is found in the South and East of the region.

The Gambia

The herd was estimated at 398,472 cattle, 143,939 sheep, 296,939 goats in 2011/2012 (Recently Agricultural 2010/2011). However, the sheep population is discussed and the Gambian department of health and animal production believes that the number of head would be higher and would approach the values of 194,722 and 251,000 heads of sheep respectively reported by the 2010 National Agricultural Survey and FAOSTAT 2010.

The distribution of livestock by race is as follows:

- Cattle are essentially N'Dama breeds (98.5% of the herd). Zebu Gobra and Métis Djakore (from the crossing of Gobra x N'Dama) represent 1.5% of the population.
- Sheep are mostly made up of Djallonke (97% of the herd), and a small proportion of Sahelian sheep breeds (Touabire, Fulani breed, Bali-bali and Ladoum - 3%).
- Goats are mainly composed of dwarf goats (98% of the herd), and a small proportion of the Sahel goats (2%).

Guinea

The herd is estimated in 2010 at 4.9 million cattle, 1.6 million sheep and 1.9 million goats (Sow, 2013)

- Cattle are pure N'Dama whose cradle is the Fouta Djallon Highlands (95% of the population), and race Méré from the crossing between N'Dama x Zebu Fulani (5%)
- Sheep are mostly made of Djallonké. However, sometimes Sahelian sheep and crossbreeds are found in some farms. But their numbers remain very low.
- Goats are Djallonke breeds (South goat) with two types: the Fouta Djallon type, which is more common and is characterized by a slender waist (shoulder height from 40 to 50 cm a straight profile and a generally brown dress with black or white spots; and the type of forest that is less common and characterized by a stocky dwarf size (height at the withers of from 35 to 37 cm) and a dress color that is black for males or ash gray or tan for females.

3.2. RUMINANT PRODUCTION SYSTEMS

Three major production systems are practiced in PROGEBE countries.

3.2.1. Pastoral systems

The extensive pastoral systems are used in semi-arid north of isohyets 400mm and may be pure, associated with rain fed crops or recession crops. They encompass transhumant pastoralism practiced mainly by the Fulani (Mali and Senegal) and the nomadic pastoralism practiced by the Tuareg (at the Gourma in Mali, at Adrar des Iforas and in north of Niger central Delta). The livestock is mostly fed by natural pasture. The feeding depends on the rainfall both qualitatively and quantitatively. The woody stuff also helps to feed livestock during the lean period of the dry season.

In Senegal, these systems are encountered in the North and North Central of the country (and the Ferlo River Valley), where it participate in 38% to the national milk production although it is primarily oriented on meat production (Bâ Diao, 2003). Over 50% of the gross income of the herders comes from livestock activities (CSE, 2009).

In Mali, these systems occupy 77% of the national territory, manage 45% of Tropical Livestock Unit (TLU) available and provide approximately 81% of herders' income. The nomadic pastoral systems are located in the Saharan region (Timbuktu and Gao north) and Sahel (Mopti and Gao south).

3.2.2. Agropastoral systems

The agropastoral systems are practiced in the south of the isohyet 400 mm. They are more or less practiced in close association with rain fed crops, irrigated crops and / or cash crops. In these systems, the amplitude of movements of indigenous herds becomes relatively short when rainfall, herbaceous biomass and trees increase. These systems are used in the Sudano-Sahelian zones that regularly host the transhumant herds from the north during the annual lean season. They concern many pastoralists who have settled and diversified their domestic economy with agriculture. They also concern a very large proportion of crop farmers who have now introduced livestock in their production systems to improve income, technical systems (soil fertility, draft animals, ..) and productivity. The cattle herds in individual or corporate ownership are driven to transhumance or grasslands by paid shepherds.

In Senegal, the agropastoral systems cover 50% of the livestock population and extend from Sudano-Sahelian zone to Guinean zone. They are found mainly in the basin groundnut named "Bassin arachidier", but also in the south. They are faced with the expansion of farmland at the expense of grasslands. The main advantage for breeding in this area is the abundance of agricultural residues.

The groundnut basin counts nearly 25% of the national cattle herd (Duteurtre, 2006). Land pressure induces intensification but the decline of natural resources requires the use of concentrated feeds, resulting in higher production costs than in the Ferlo (Broutin et al., 2000).

The south (administrative regions of Kolda, Ziguinchor and Tambacounda) counts nearly 20% of the national cattle herd and nearly 45% of cattle N'Dama. It is an important area of semi-intensive production (Duteurtre, 2006). This area is characterized by high rainfall (more than 1000 mm of water / year), a more abundant natural vegetation and higher meat production potential. Agropastoral potentialities of the south are higher than those of the groundnut basin due to lower land pressure and thus the existence of important livestock tracks and the possibility of combining the use of natural resources and housing systems (lower production costs) (Broutin et al, 2000).

In Mali, agropastoral systems cover the Sudano-Sahelian and Sudanian zone from East to West. Depending on the area, these systems combine rain fed agriculture, flood recession agriculture (Niger bend, Kolimbine, Terekole, Magui lake), irrigated crops (zones Office du Niger), cash crops (cotton areas of Mali-south). They occupy 23% of the territory, concern 55% of TLU and provide 18% of herders' income.

In the Gambia, the agropastoral systems cover the whole territory and are characterized by low displacement amplitude. The transhumance is practiced during the dry season in order to access to better resources, and during the rainy season to free growing areas.

In Guinea the agropastoral system is the major livestock system. It differentiates into two sub-systems, one of which is sedentary and the other transhumant.

The sedentary sub-system is practiced by small size cattle farms (average of 10 heads of cattle) or medium size farms (11-30 heads of cattle) and small ruminant farms (average of 10 small ruminants). This system is found in communities owning significant pastoral resources (water, fodder, etc.). Animals are sedentary throughout the year and left in free grazing during the dry season. However, during the dry season local resources including water points attract herders from neighboring areas located within a radius generally less than 30 km. They come for small transhumance. They perform successive movements in the lowlands and plains for a stay between 14 to 30 days.

The transhumant subsystem is practiced by ranchers with over 30 heads of cattle. They are typically associated with small ruminants, especially sheep. This subsystem is characterized by a dry season transhumance that covers a radius of up to 150 km. The great transhumance is practiced mainly in the northwestern part of the country between the foothills of the Fouta Djallon (departure areas) and the coastal plains (reception areas).

3.2.3. Peri-urban systems

They are market-oriented through milk production. They are developed around the major consumption centers and use only animal food purchased locally or imported: cultivated fodder; agro-industrial by-products (concentrates); cereals; cake; straws and stalks; etc. Livestock production is ensured by exotic breeds (inaccessible to the poorest herders) and their genotypes that are more productive than local cross. The fattening of sheep and cattle coming from pastoral livestock are also common.

3.3. GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF LIVESTOCK FARMS

3.3.1. Herd composition

The average herd size varies depending on the site and type of farming:

- In Gambia the average size is 57-76 heads. They are mostly ERL.
- In Senegal in monospecific farms, the average herd is 40 heads for cattle and 10 heads for small ruminants, while in multispecies farms there are 33 heads of cattle, 11 heads of sheep and 11 heads of goats per household.
- In Guinea, farms have on average 66 cattle, 12 goats and 9 small ruminants in the departure areas of transhumance. Dairy cows represent 23% of the cattle herd and 93% of cattle are N'Dama breeds. In the reception areas of transhumance, the livestock population is made up of 80% of N'Dama and 20% of zebu. The zebu is mostly located in the area of Lola (2866 Zebus identified in total).

The knowledge of the resident population of livestock is an indicator useful to estimate the carrying capacity in relation to the quantity and quality of available forage resources and the flow of transhumance. It is also useful for modelling the strategies of improvement of the resources and livestock production systems.

3.3.2. Housing of livestock

Cattle are housed in open pens according to 90 to 100% of the surveyed herders. This accommodation is typically a park built with rudimentary materials (wood, twigs...). In the dry season the cattle are usually left free of control. Young calves are housed in the camp.

Small ruminants are better housed. In the Gambia, 75.9% of the women surveyed house their small ruminants in pens closed with or without elevated platform, and only 24.8% house their small animals in open pens. In Senegal, the small ruminants are housed in covered shelters according to 56% of breeders. In Mali, the majority of breeders house their small ruminants in unroofed enclosures, except in one site where the use of covered enclosure is widespread.

3.3.3. Feeding systems

The feeding system is based on natural pastures throughout the rainy season. Indeed, from the onset of the first rains the animals are taken away from homes to free agricultural areas. In the rainy season, they are led by a shepherd and tethered near homes to avoid conflicts with crop growers. In some cases, they are moved into the forests to release agricultural areas (Senegal). This displacement during the short rainy season does not displace livestock outside its traditional ecology.

After the harvests, resident animals are brought near houses to exploit fallow as common pasture. After depletion of fallow lands and water resources, large herds go on transhumance and certain categories of

animals (dairy cows, oxen) are maintained and fed with agricultural by-products and crop residues remaining in fields or stored for this purpose. The rest of the animal is left free of control (free grazing).

In Senegal, the supplementation and the use of crop residues are still low. Fodder collection is still low and concerns only the groundnut hay.

Table 3: Feeding sources of endemic ruminant livestock in Senegal and the Gambia

Feeding source	Small ruminants				Cattle			
	Bandafassi et Ouassadou	Kiang West	Niaminia East	Nianija	Bandafassi et Ouassadou	Kiang West	Niaminia East	Nianija
Natural pasture only	82%	70%	44%	73%	80%	96%	72%	84%
Natural pasture and other types of concentrated feed	14%	30%	56%	27%	19%	4%	28%	16%
Pasture and leguminous forage	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Table 4: Feeding sources of cattle (Cat) and small ruminants (SR) in Mali

Feeding source	% du cheptel par commune													
	Gouanan		Koussan		Garalo		Sibirila		Fakola		Tousséguéla		Gadougou1	
	Cat	SR	Cat	SR	Cat	SR	Cat	SR	Cat	SR	Cat	SR	Cat	SR
Natural pasture only	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	90	100	100	100	42	100
Natural pasture and other types of concentrated feed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	0	0	58	0

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Food scarcity is felt by the majority of herders both in areas of departure and arrival. This food scarcity is the major cause of transhumance in Guinea according to 100% of the herders surveyed in the starting areas and 54.19% of the herders surveyed in reception area. In Senegal the fodder shortage at the late dry season is experienced by 74% of surveyed herders. It is accentuated by the bushfires. The authorities' response to this issue is not sustainable and involves only the granting of concentrates to herders at a subsidized price.

The livestock watering is also a major constraint to livestock because it is mainly based on wells that are generally deep and on natural water points that dry up early or when access is denied because of the presence of rice crops. According to 20% of herders of Ouassadou Senegal, the waste produced by rice production (fertilizer and pesticide residues) pollute the water points that become harmful to animals.

3.3.4. Mortality and management of animal health

Pathogens and diseases remains a strong constraint to productivity of ruminants. Their negative effects are accentuated by the transhumance and are not yet mastered given the absence of a regional strategy for health protection in general and transhumant ruminants in particular. The lack of preventive measures exposes the local livestock to diseases from transhumant livestock in a context of free grazing very common in that period. The mortality rates remain high (Table 5).

Table 5 : Mortality rate

	Cattle	Small ruminants
The Gambia	8%	12%
Senegal	4%	22%
Mali	3,5%	7%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

The situation varies across countries with strengths and weaknesses that can be capitalized to develop regional policies, strategies and actions for effective management of animal health:

In the Gambia, livestock mortality is high and is close to the rate reported by ILRI (PROGEBE-Gambia Baseline Report, 2010). Contagious bovine pleuropneumonia (CBPP) is considered by respondent breeders as the main cause of mortality of cattle. This disease has seen resurgence in the Gambia in 2012 after 41 years of absence. It was reported for the first time in November 2012 in the district of "Niamina Dankunku" in the region of "Central River". This district is the main transhumance destination of pastoralists of the two sites studied in the Gambia (Niamina East and Nianija) and of pastoralists from Casamance in southern Senegal.

In Senegal, the high mortality of small ruminants is due to the weak enforcement of health regulations by herders, and also the weak maintenance of the animals. The vaccination of small ruminants is not systematic, since only 60% of breeders of PROGEBE sites take preventive measures against only 24% of breeders on non-PROGEBE sites. The most common diseases are the plague of small ruminants, sheep pasteurellosis, diarrhea, and scabies.

In Mali, the mortality of cattle in the study sites is much more related to dystocia and pasteurellosis. The mortality of small ruminants is generally due to the PPR, poor quality both of food and drinking water. Mortality is much higher in the months of March and June. The national policy of livestock in Mali has implemented a number of actions that have reduced the mortality rate of livestock including: improving cattle health coverage; strengthening the animal health information system and strengthening the process of privatization of the veterinary profession.

In Guinea, specific measures for livestock protection are collectively taken before departure of transhumance: vaccination against soil-borne diseases and against CBPP in areas where it is endemic (Dinguiraye, Beyla, Mandiana and Siguiri). On transhumance routes, 77% of surveyed herders say that they have not experienced any health problems. 61% of transhumance pastoralists do not record deaths. Generally, mortalities recorded during the trip are due to food poisoning or accidents.

Mortalities of 1 to 3 heads are recorded by 47% of cattle farmers, 21.4% of sheep farmers and 9.5% of goat farmers.

Improving animal health therefore appears to be a priority for the development of livestock in the different study sites.

The implementation of programs of control and prevention of diseases in partnership with the departments in charge of livestock, and the implementation of stakeholders' capacity-building measures in the sites of departure, transit and destination are among the factors capable to reduce the risk of disease transmission and ERL mortality.

3.3.5. Reproduction and crossing of breeds

Except for Nianija in the Gambia and Tousseguela in Mali, the involvement of herders in order to control the reproduction of cattle and small ruminants is generally low or non-existent (Table 6). Indeed, the farming system is of extensive type and characterized by wandering of animals during the dry season, making difficult the control of crossings by the breeder. The small proportion of herders who control the reproduction is with purposes of genetic modification in order to derive an economic interest.

Table 6: Percentage of herders of reception zones involved in the crossing of animals

Countries	Sites	Cattle			Small ruminants		
		Strictly controlled	Poorly controlled	Un-controlled	Strictly controlled	Poorly controlled	Un-controlled
The Gambia	Kiang West	0	52	48	0	21	79
	Niamina East	36	56	11	13	17	70
	Nianija	58	26	16	0	23	77
Senegal		19	30	51	6	15	79
Mali	Gouanan	0	78	22	0	78	22
	Koussan	0	17	83	0	17	83
	Garalo	12	44	44	0	0	100
	Sibirila	0	50	50	0	50	50
	Fakola	0	0	100	0	0	100
	Tousséguéla	57	7	36	9	0	91
	Gadougou 1	0	100	0	0	100	0
Guinea		3	11	86	3	11	86

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

3.3.6. Potentialities and capacities of production

Milk production is low in all sites (Table 7). Except the case of Mali, the milk production in the sites is largely by the N'Dama cows. Production of milk by small ruminants is almost nonexistent.

Table 7: Milk production parameters in the Gambia and Senegal

Country	District	Average number of dairy cows per herd	Average production per cow in the rainy season (liter / day)	Average production per cow in the dry season (litre/ day)
Gambie	Kiang West	18	1.3	0.4
	Niamina East	15	1	0.4
	Nianija	17	1.5	0.5
Senegal		-	1.0	0.5
Mali		-	0.2 à 1.5	
Guinée			0.9 – 1.8	0.6 – 1.0

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

The analysis of the perception of herders on the ERL in Senegal sites indicates that:

- The N'Dama breeds are potentially resistant to certain diseases (70% of respondents) and have a high weight gain. However, they have a low milk production (25% of respondents).
- The ERL has the ability to reproduce rapidly (15% of respondents), in particular small ruminants. They have very high destocking rates and provide a readily available cash.
- The N'Dama breed is popular in the local market; however, 60% of herders note that its small size hinders its competitiveness in the northern markets at a certain period of the year. Small ruminants have the distinction of being susceptible to certain diseases. Despite these shortcomings, N'Dama cattle remain the favorite breed of people in their natural habitat.

3.3.7. Destocking of animals

Some animals are removed from the herd and sold or used to meet the urgent needs of the family, adjust the livestock and seize market opportunities during major socio-cultural and religious events. The destocking rate is generally low in the studied sites.

In the Gambia, cattle owners are in capitalization strategy that limits the sale of unproductive animals of their herd. This leads to a low rate of exploitation of the herd, increases the size of animal population and increases the pressure on the agro-pastoral resources.

In Senegal, the sheep destocking rate is relatively high (31%) and favored by the strong market demand during religious ceremonies. A part of revenue is reinvested in the herd through the purchase of veterinary products, feed concentrates during the lean period and new females. The destocking of goats (rate of 35%) allows the household to solve specific problems. For cattle, the destocking rate is much lower (10%) because the herd is a form of saving for breeders. On PROGEBE sites (Bandafassi and Ouassadou), the destocking rates are 24%, 28% and 7% respectively for sheep, goats and cattle.

The cumulative number of animals removed from the farm or herd in each site was estimated in Mali and Guinea (Table 8). These data reflect the economic value of livestock in each site, but also on the extent of loss of animals in these areas.

Table 8: Cumulative number of animals removed from households or herds in Mali and Guinea

Species	Mali								Guinea	
	Goua-nan	Kou-ssan	Ga-ralo	Sibi-ri-la	Fa-kola	Toussé-guéla	Gadou-gou1	Moyenne	sites of departure	sites of arrival
Total number of animals sold per year										
Cattle	358	195	31	360	305	323	133	244	921	708
Sheep	754	475	49	1 000	680	1 346	415	674	414	276
Goats									354	238
Total number of cattle lost per breed and per year										
N'Dama	184	382	21	355	235	28	17	175	298	231
Zebus	15	95	11	0	134	100	26	54	19	167
Métis	28	41	0	160	217	28	29	72	36	42
Other breeds	15	0		0	0	40	0	8	10	4
Total number of sheep lost per breed and per year										
Fulani sheep	154	95	23	0	575	29	35	130	-	-
Djallonké sheep	97	280	14	1 535	158	297	16	342	-	-
Métis	65	93	0	0	433	43	28	95	-	-
Other breeds	40	0		0	0	0	0	6	-	-
Total number of goats lost per breed and per year										
Sahel goat	169	0	17	0	475	71	41	110	-	-
Djallonké	93	460	10	2 441	113	236	19	482	-	-
Métis	42	0	0	0	260	30	32	52	-	-
Other breeds	20	0	37	0	0	0	0	8	-	-

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

3.4. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRANSHUMANTS SYSTEMS IN THE HOST TERRITORIES

3.4.1. Types of transhumance and map flows

Types of transhumance

Transhumance can be defined as a seasonal migration of livestock farmers (some members of the family) and their herds (usually much of the herd). They leave the territories in which the majority of the family is permanently settled (origin territory) to join different territories (of transit, host or destination) in order to access better resources (water and pasture) while minimizing the socio-economic and health risks (Dongmo et al., 2012).

The transhumance always incorporates the departure territories, reception territories (or destination) and transit territories. These areas are often located in the same country or in different countries. The production (milk, meat, manure) is then made and sold throughout the trip. Overall in sub-humid zone, there are two types of transhumance.

- The small transhumance is characterized by short displacement with distances rarely reaching 100 km and a total length of stay not exceeding 3 months. It aims in one hand to exploit crop residues or access better pastures in the dry season and in other hand to free the crop areas (in saturated territories) during the rainy season. This form of transhumance is widespread and reduces conflicts with farmers. Very often, the transhumance is national but also cross-border level especially for pastures installed near the borders.
- The great transhumance takes place in the dry season and does not respond to a rigid pattern in its methods, its organization and its frequency. It corresponds to large amplitude movements of livestock (north-south to and south-north). The distances are of several hundred of kilometers, and frequently exceed the boundaries of the country.

Transhumance routes and flows

The transhumance itinerary is usually consists of strategic points (water points, grazing areas, markets, etc.) that herders want to reach. So they do not follow a very specific track since the fields are not grown during this period.

Upon the return of transhumance shortly before or at the beginning of the rainy season, the precise tracks called corridors are used by herders to facilitate the crossing of agricultural lands. Currently there is a tendency to markup the corridors, to counter the progress of the fields that often generate violent conflicts between farmers and herders.

In Senegal, the great transhumance is based on three main areas:

- From Ferlo to the central west part of the groundnut basin (Cadior and Baol). This flow mobilizes the entire herd of cattle and small ruminants.
- From the north to the central south of the groundnut basin and the south of the country. This route involves small ruminants only.
- From the central west to southern Ferlo. The purpose is to release crop areas and mobilizes all livestock during the rainy season.

In Mali, several transhumance routes exist and can be grouped into two main areas: North-South and East-West.

The PROGEBE sites are affected by the North-South axis, so the most popular are:

- Nara and Nioro, Diema and Yélimané, Kokofata for the site of Sagabary;
- Mopti, Macina, Koulikoro, Segou to reach the sites of Madina Diassa, Manankoro and Tousseguela. The tracks continue until Burkina Faso and Ivory Coast;
- Region of Koulikoro and Bougouni Circle, Kabita - Kolondieba - Bougoula - Fakola - Ivory Coast (used by about 4,500 cattle and 150 sheep)

- Region of Koulikoro, Segou and Bougouni circles, Kebila-Kolondieba-Farako-Fakola- Ivory Coast (5000 cattle and 300 sheep)
- Region of Koulikoro and Bougouni Circle Mena-Kolondieba -Tousségula-Kadiana-Ivory Coast (3700 cattle and 1000 sheep)
- Regions of Koulikoro, Segou, Bougouni Mena-Kolondieba -Tousségula-Fakola - Ivory Coast (2000 cattle and 700 sheep)
- Sikasso - Nagalasso - Tiongui- Ivory Coast (2500 cattle and 100 sheep)
- Burkina Faso, Sikasso - Nangalaso - Tiongui - Ivory Coast (4000 cattle and 200 sheep)
- Region of Koulikoro and Bougouni Circle

The Niger Delta is also a major destination point that herders reach following north-south or east-west tracks. These areas are known since the time of the Dina of Sékou Amadou at early 19th century. In some places they are busy by human settlement but continue to be borrowed.

These are the largest the axes of northern regions to reach areas with Bourgou (*Echinochloa stagnina*) in the delta and axes coming from the pastures of Seno and the cliffs of Bandiagara.

In the Gambia, transhumance is part of the production system in the central and eastern part of the Gambia. In these areas, the movements are so regular, so that the animals can go alone if the shepherd delays the departure. Most Gambian herds converge to the "Central River" region. The herds of northern and southern Senegal also converge into this region.

In Guinea, the flow of transhumance varies among sites.

At Gaoual (site 1), the great transhumance is performed by a dozen herders, especially those with more than 150 heads of cattle. It involves a total of 3,600 heads of cattle and 800 small ruminants that are moved annually on a radius of over 60 km, sometimes reaching Guinea Bissau. Small transhumance is practiced by about fifteen herders with an average of 31 to 60 head of cattle. At Koumbia, for example, there is a border transhumance reaching Guinea Bissau, where nearly 4,000 heads of cattle each year make the long journey of 45 km. In the other part of the site, transhumance is of low level. It is most common between the neighboring sub-prefectures of Koumbia (Wendou M'Bôôrou and Kounsitel).

A Dinguiraye (site 2), transhumance is practiced internally and concerns: i) animals in the sub-prefecture of Sélouma going towards Kouroussa in the east, towards Tamoun (Dabola) in the south and towards Dinguiraye-centre in the north; ii) animals in the municipality of Dinguiraye between the plateau of Diafouna and the alluvial plains of Tinkisso River in the south where a 13,764 heads of cattle annually travel on a distance of 40 Km.

At Beyla (Site 3), transhumance is very limited given the great availability of forage in the region. At Beyla the ERL is sedentary. However, the conflicts in Ivory Coast and Liberia, have been the source of a large

influx of herders with their often zebu cattle into Guinea (Beyla and Lola zones). The constant presence of these herders in Guinean territory and their tendency to always occupy new areas means their permanent installation.

At Mandiana/Siguiiri, transhumant herders always arrive on the side of the Republic of Mali (Sikasso, Bougouni Yanfolila) and the Ivory Coast (Odjenné) with basically zebu cattle.




Figure 4 : Transhumance flows in PROGEBE countries

3.4.2. Importance of the PROGEBE project sites in the transhumance

The Gambia

Kiang West mainly serves as recipient/host zone for transhumance herds (46.7%). The main recipient areas in the district include Dumbuto, Brikamanding, Kuli Kunda, Bajana, Jamaru, Jali, Kemoto, and Kantong Kunda among others. The transhumant herders are from mainly within the district.

In some parts of Kiang West in particular in the Niorro Jattaba area with high cattle population and proximity to the main Jarra Soma high way, herders go on transhumance to both within and outside the district as evidence by 21.7%. The main destination zone outside the district is the neighbouring Kiang East.

In the remote interior of Kiang West, the response from most herders is that the district does not play any role in transhumance thus the 31.7% no transhumance practiced. These areas include settlements such as Keneba, Wudeba, Dumboto, Jifarong, Joli, Burong and Karantaba among others.

The importance of Niamina East in transhumance is varied. The district principally serves as both host and source (85.7%). It also serves as recipient/ host (16.1%), as well as source, host and transit 14.3%.

The following areas serve as both host and source Kerewan Demba, Sinchu Janko, Kerr Omar Daho, Mawdeh Kunda, Misera, Pateh Sam, Bamba Kolong, and Welingara among others. There are areas in the district that serve principally as only host. These include but not limited to Kerewan Touray, Sotokoi, Macca, Jallow Kunda and Njie Kunda among others.

Niamina East, located in the southern part of Central River Region is characterised by woodlands interspersed with open savannah and fresh water swamps which makes it a favourable destinations for transhumant herders from mainly Upper River Region and from Cassamance, Senegal. The district also serves as transit zone for these herds on their way to the lowland fresh water grazing pastures of Niamina Dankunku District, a very important recipient zone for transhumant herds.

The district of Nianija plays multiple roles in transhumance: it serves as both host and source (65%), recipient (40%), source and transit 13.2%. It also serves as source only (2.6%) and transit (2.6%).

The lowland tidal fresh water plains interspersed with small islands with good pasture during the dry season makes this district a favourable destination zone for herds from other parts of the country mainly from Central and Upper River Regions as well as from Northern and Southern Senegal. Nianija is also a major source of transhumance during the rainy season due to expansion of settlements, crop and rice cultivation. The major destination zones are in Upper Saloum as shown in Figure 4. Other destinations are mainly in Niani district all in central River Region.

Senegal

At Ouassadou, transhumance is a recent phenomenon and the zone is mainly a transit area. Northern herders pass through Ouassadou to reach the nearby municipality of Pakour. Contrary to Ouassadou, the site of Bandafassi is a front area of transhumance. This site welcomes since more than ten (10) years the Sahelian transhumants, hence the very high intensity of transhumance. In this site some villages are reception areas of transhumant while others are transit villages where herders spend only a few days to reach their final destination (host village).

Since recent years some transhumants pass through the site of Ouassadou to enter the Republic of Guinea. In fact, northern herders are arriving more and more in Bandafassi where resources are becoming increasingly scarce, pushing some herds to cross the Senegal-Guinea border in search of better conditions.

Mali

In Mali, the study sites play an important role in reception and transit of animals (Table 9). Over 50% of respondents have reported that PROGEBE sites are host or transit zones for transhumants.

The transhumants arriving or in transit are usually hosted by the natives. Economic and trade relationships are established between transhumants and residents on the sites. These exchanges are often manifested through the barter (milk, meat and even cattle against cereals). They also appear through manure agreement and use of draft animals.

Table 9: Major role of municipalities on transhumance in Mali

	Percentage (%) of responses per municipality						
	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1
Hosting zone of transhumants	47%	100%	36%	6%	-	53%	50
Departure zones of transhumants	0%	0%	0%	6%	-	0%	0
Zone of transit	47%	0%	64%	56%	25%	6%	0
Hosting and departure zones	0%	0%	0%	13%	75%	41%	50
Not involved in transhumance	6%	0%	0%	19%	0%	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in Mali

Guinea

The following sites are both reception points for cross-border transhumance, but also starting points for internal transhumance.

At Gaoual (site 1), the main starting points are: Sub-prefectures of (i) Koumbia (District of Senta, Taguira, Guidali and Koumbia Centre) (ii) Kounsiel (District Kounsiel Centre Boukouna), (iii) Wendou M'Borou (District of Horé Bendja, Féfinè, Kaakilonti, Kouramangui).

The transit areas at Gaoual are: Koumbia (Karina) for breeders coming from Koumbia, Dandé Féfiné in Wendou M'Borou for transhumants coming from Missira (Télimélé Prefecture).

At Dinguiraye (site 2), the areas of departure and arrival are inside the site (from Diafouna tray in the urban district to the alluvial plains of Tinkisso River). More than 13,000 heads of cattle are affected by this route which is less than 40 km long. It should be noted that some reception areas are located in neighboring prefectures of Dinguiraye (Kouroussa and Dabola)

Beyla (Site 3) is located in an area where the rainy season lasts 9 to 10 months. Over the past 10 years, the region has experienced an influx of foreign breeders with zebu cattle. Their presence is especially pronounced in the sub-prefectures of Nionsomridou, Boola (Beyla Prefecture), Sub-Prefectures of Laine, Foubadou and Géasso (Lola Prefecture adjacent to that of Beyla), sub-prefectures and Vassérédou

Kouankan (Macenta Prefecture). In this pre-forest and forest area, the presence of more than 10,000 heads of Zebu owned by fifty herders is reported.

Siguiri / Mandiana (site 4) located in further north of Guinea and adjacent to the south of the Republic of Mali and northern Côte d'Ivoire, the same phenomenon as in site 3 is observed.

3.4.3. Characteristics of transhumants hosted in the studied sites

In Senegal, transhumants called "eguee" by local people, are usually from "Fouta" (Podor in Saint Louis region, Matam and Bakel in Tambacounda region). Herders from neighboring countries are also reported by the population.

The characteristics of transhumant herds are the following:

- The Sahelian breeds are predominant.
- The herders have a mixed herd dominated in number by small ruminants.
- One herder owns an average of 259 head of sheep, 60 head of cattle and 34 heads of goats.
- The monospecific livestock farms are reported by only 13% of respondents.
- The average herd size is 60 cattle, 259 sheep, 34 goats (PROGEBE - Senegal, 2014)

According to the view of host population, the origin of transhumants is north and north-east of Senegal (86%) and neighboring countries (Mauritania and Mali - 12%).

Table 10: Origin of transhumants arriving in the studied sites in Senegal

Origin of transhumants	%	Species	%
Herders from North Senegal	86,09%	Small ruminants	87,42%
Herders from other countries	11,92%	All species	12,58%
Herders from other villages	1,99%		
Total	100,00%	Total	100,00%

Transhumant livestock is composed predominantly of small ruminants (87.42% of respondents). Cattle also progressively arrive in this site. Some respondents reported having observed an entire herd of Sahelian cattle arrived in transhumance in Bandafassi.

In the Gambia, transhumants come from various locations including Senegal:

- The district of Niamina East is both area of reception and departure of transhumants:
 - 96.4% of herders believe that the transhumants come from other districts of Gambia or of Senegal.
 - 51.8% of the district's herders also practice transhumance towards other territories. They leave for neighboring villages in their district or in foreign districts.
 - 50% of herders indicate that transhumance inside the district involves pastoralists of the Casamance region (Senegal).

- In general, there is a flow of transhumance in both directions. Foreign animals come to Niamina during the dry season, and those of Niamina East perform transhumance towards Casamance in Senegal and other districts of the Gambia in the rainy season.

- In Nianija, the transhumance involves the district's herders (84.3% of surveyed herders), but also those of neighboring districts (60.5%) and those of Senegal (18.2%).

- At Kiang West, transhumance involves the district's herders (51.8% of respondents) and herders of other districts (25% of respondents). There is not yet any transhumant coming from outside the country and entering the district of Kiang West.

According to the herders surveyed in the Gambia, cattle are the main species involved in transhumance in the 3 sites, although the small ruminants are also but in low level. The views of residents livestock owners and of village leaders is that transhumants livestock are composed mostly of ERL, but in varying proportions depending on the host sites (**Table 11** and **Table 12**)

Table 11: Views of resident herders (%) on the breeds arriving for transhumance in the Gambia

	ERL breeds	Sahelian breeds	Métis
Cattle breeds hosted according to the view (%) of local owners of livestock			
Kiang West	90	0	10
Niamina East	87	0	13
Nianija	80	0	20
Small ruminants breeds hosted according to the view (%) of local owners of livestock			
Kiang West	100	0	0
Niamina East	60.9	0	39.1
Nianija	53.9	0	46.1

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

According to the large majority of women owners of small ruminants and village leaders, small ruminants in transhumance are mostly ERL.

Table 12: Opinion of the village chiefs (%) on the breeds arriving in the Gambia

	ERL breeds	Sahelian breeds	Metis
% of cattle			
Kiang West	100	0	0
Niamina East	90.5	0	9.5
Nianija	65	0	35
% of small ruminants			
Kiang West	100	0	0
Niamina East	81.9	0	18.1
Nianija	12.5	12.5	75

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In Mali, transhumants hosted in studied sites are from diverse origins (Table 13). Cattle is the most welcomed species according to 64% of surveyed residents (Table 14). Small ruminants are generally in transit.

Table 13: Origin of transhumants according to the respondents in studied sites in Mali

	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1
Herders of the village	0%	0%	10%	5%	25%	19%	0%
Herders of nearby villages	0%	0%	5%	15%	23%	19%	0%
Herders of the district/Circle or municipality	10%	53%	37%	15%	25%	23%	10%
Herders of others districts/circles	90%	47%	11%	15%	25%	39%	90%
Herds of other countries	0%	0%	37%	50%	2%	0%	0%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Table 14: Species arriving in transhumance in the studied sites in Mali

	View of respondents (%) per municipality						
	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousseguela	Gadougou1
Cattle	50%	69%	75%	71%	100%	50%	31%
Small ruminants	50%	31%	25%	29%	0%	50%	31%
All	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	38%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In Guinea, transhumants come mainly from other prefectures, municipalities, districts or neighboring countries according to 61% of respondents. Other transhumants herders come from villages within the municipality (19% of respondents) or from nearby municipalities (17%). The transhumant herds consist mainly of cattle (68% of respondents) and of a mixture cattle / small ruminants (according to 32% of respondents). In Guinea there is no transhumance system involving only small ruminants species.

3.4.4. Reasons and justification of the departure in transhumance

In all sites, the purpose of the transhumance of dry season is to search the water and fodder (according to 96% of herders in Guinea, 97% in Senegal; 99% in Mali). Other reasons such as trade (2% of respondents in Guinea; 2% in Senegal) and avoidance of mosquitoes by herders (18% in some sites in the Gambia) or services (traditional healers, according to 1% of respondents in Senegal) remain low. The Gambia PROGEBE 2010 survey showed that the adaptation strategies of herders facing acute shortage of fodder were: transhumance (52.3% of herders), give the priority to some categories of animals (29.5%) and sale of animals (18.2%).

In the rainy season, herders leave saturated areas to avoid the destruction of crops by animals.

3.4.5. Involvement of actors vis à vis transhumance

In the Gambia

In The Gambia, in the districts of Nianija and Niamina East, the leaders consider themselves as highly involved in transhumance. They operate at different levels: Arbitration of unresolved conflicts in the villages between transhumant herders and indigenous; sensitization of transhumants arriving on local conventions and rules; and facilitation of the movement of herds during the rainy season to avoid herd damages on crops. In Kiang West, the low involvement of the chief of district is due to the fact that transhumance in this district is limited. However, despite their roles as guardians of pastoral resources at the local level, only 51 to 46% of village chiefs depending to the districts surveyed feel having being involved in transhumance (Table 15).

Table 15: Proportion (%) of actors involved in transhumance in the Gambia

Districts	% of herders		% of chiefs of villages		% of livestock owners	
	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Kiang West	16	84	0	100	0	100
Niamina East	48	52	41.7	58.3	42	58
Nianija	95	5	45.5	54.5	43	57

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Livestock services officials are also involved in transhumance in Nianija and Niamina East through vaccination of transhumant herds before departure and the provision of veterinary services including treatment and vaccination of animals arriving in the district against transboundary animal diseases. According to the Animal Health Service, these interventions could be improved by requiring vaccination for all transhumant animals entering the district and by requiring a proof of vaccination against CBPP.

The officials of water and forests services were also directly involved in transhumance, especially in the prevention and control of bush fires currently ravaging pasture during the dry season.

Senegal

In Senegal, 60.53% of the herders surveyed do not feel involved in the management of transhumance in their territory for different reasons (Table 16).

Table 16: Reasons justifying the non-involvement of people in the management of transhumance in Senegal

Reasons	% of respondents
Not empowered by the authorities	27,85%
Not interested	13,92%
Uninformed	13,92%
Statut of woman	11,39%
No transhumants in my village	10,13%
Busy with other work	8,86%
Avoid conflicts	7,59%
Frustrated by how transhumance is managed	5,06%
Too old	1,27%
Total	100,00%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Indigenous peoples are not involved in the transhumance because specific responsibilities have not been assigned to them (27.85%). The lack of interest (14%) for the management of transhumance and communication problems (14%) is also a barrier to the involvement of the population in the management of transhumance. Women are also excluded from the management of transhumance.

From Table 17, the owners (33%) and members of the host committee (30.43%) in the villages are the main actors most involved in the management of transhumance. In fact, tutors have a vested interest with transhumant, while the welcoming committees are responsible of welcoming the transhumants and sensitize them on the rules and conventions applicable in the village.

Table 17: Modes of involvement in the transhumance

Mode of involvement	% of respondents
Lodging-house keeper	33.33%
Member of welcoming committee	13.04%
Member of environmental committee	11.59%
Chief of the village	7.25%
Notable (advisor of the chief of village)	7.25%
Membre of herders' committee	7.25%
Exchanges with transhumants	5.80%
Member of the committee managing the drilling	5.80%
Facilitator between transhumants and local population	5.80%
Municipal councilor	1.45%
Victim of transhumants' damage	1.45%
Total	100.00%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Village leaders are involved in the settlement of disputes between transhumants and indigenous populations. At a higher level, technical and administrative services and local authorities are involved in the resolution of conflicts and the preservation of natural resources (Department of Water and forests).

Mali

The major part of respondents believes that actors have been involved in different ways in the management of transhumance (64%): through denunciation of a misconduct of transhumant shepherds; testimony in case of conflict; Intervention to solve conflicts issues. The most involved actors are officials of the service of water and forests, mayors and chiefs of village.

Table 18: Percentage of actors involved in the local management of transhumance in Mali

Municipality	Yes	No
Sagabary	26%	74%
Fakola	82%	18%
Tousséguéla	90%	10%
Gouanan	90%	10%
Koussan	0%	0%
Sibirila	82%	18%
Garalo	75%	25%
Moyenne	64%	22%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Guinea

In Guinea, 77% of key stakeholders interviewed feel personally involved in transhumance. 43% of them are heads of districts. Their involvement regards awareness, negotiation of livestock track, resolution of conflicts between farmers and herders on area of reception of transhumants, the negotiations with indigenous peoples for the allocation of home sites of transhumants and for commercial transactions (sale of live animals).

3.4.6. Measures taken by herders before departure on transhumance

In the Gambia, specific measures are taken before departure on transhumance. This is done by 86.2% of herders in Niamina East, 46.2% in Nianija and 12.5% in Kiang West. These measures mainly concern vaccination against haemorrhagic septicemia and blackleg. Both diseases have a vaccine stable against heat that can be easily accessible when needed.

Some herders also treat their cattle against worms before departure. Traditional means are also used to protect their flocks (decoctions of herbs and salts)

According to 40% of the herders' surveyed, sick or disabled animals, draft animals and newborn calves do not go to transhumance. Some dairy cows are also left in the origin territory to provide milk to the family.

In Senegal, the transhumance to the sites studied is provided by hired shepherds or family members accompanied by the owner. Unlike the transhumance observed in the Ferlo (Djolof) where mobilization of family labor (men, women, children) is important, transhumance in Fouta mobilizes fewer labor (young persons from family), with reduced logistic. The other family members remain at the home village (origin territory).

The departures on transhumance are not collectively planned at the village level. Each farmer plans his departure date and the route to take, depending on the availability of resources in the village of origin and information available on potential zones of transit and host. The material organization is sketchy: donkeys to dunnage, dishes and food.

In Mali, the sites studied are mostly areas of reception or transit of transhumant. Only 15% of surveyed herders confirm the existence of small transhumance during the cropping period. For departure, transhumants do not take special measures, but they leave behind draft animals, some dairy cows and some small ruminants reserved for religious and cultural events or for sale.

In Guinea, the main arrangements before departure transhumance according to the opinion of herders' leaders concern:

- Sanitary measures: vaccinations, deworming and other treatments (85% of respondents);
- The zootechnic measures: marking and counting of all animals (8% of respondents);
- Communication: identification of adequate track; setting the starting date; information of host communities; negotiating the route and sites of implantation (7% of respondents).

3.4.7. Terms of transhumance, transit areas and duration

The transit borrowed by transhumant herders is relative and depends on the variability of rainfall the previous year, the availability of water resources and the quality of community pastures. The affinities with local people on transit can also determine the stay of transhumance in a locality.

Periods of departure to transhumance, such as length of stay in the transit zones depend on the availability of water and pasture resources in the place and the density of animal according to carrying capacity on these resources in destination territories. The departure dates are adjusted according to the variability of rainfall the previous year. In 2014, for example, in Senegal, the majority of transhumants left earlier their home land, because of the rainfall deficit. This early start is motivated by fear of diarrheal diseases due to pollution of surface water (ponds). The status of resources is therefore a key parameter in making decisions about the starting of transhumance, the duration of transit and final destination.

Senegal

The transhumance do not know countries borders. Thus, a herd can stay in dry season in the South or South East Senegal and join Mauritania or Mali (Keyes region) during the rainy season to free growing areas in its territory of origin. The stay in these territories only serves to rest the animals and preparing the next transhumance.

According to transhumants welcomed in the sites studied, the main point of transit which are essential or indispensable on the route of transhumance are:

- Bakel, Gabou, Ndiawara, Kidira Sadatou; Diankémakha; Kayang; Badong; Mako for breeders who transhume to Kédougou and Salémata
- Mayo Dandé; Goudiry; Tamba; Gouloumbo; Vélingara; Saré Coly Sallé; Ouassadou for livestock farmers to Ouassadou.

The average length of stay in the host territories is 45 days. The first transhumants arrive just at the end of the rainy season (around December and January) and stay longer than their peers who arrive in the middle of the dry season (around March). At Bandafassi transhumants usually arrive around the months of December and January and return around the end of May.

At Ouassadou transhumants arrive in the middle of the dry season. According to the agent of livestock of the municipality of Ouassadou the livestock farmers do not stay more than one month in the village. They are in constant displacement in the local villages during their stay and then join the neighboring municipality of Pakour.

The foreign transhumants from the north of Senegal join host territories in the sites studied more quickly (1 month) when resources in the transit areas become insufficient or of poor quality to feed the livestock adequately. Against by, the transit may take four months if resources are available for livestock in quality and quantity on the path. The average travel time to reach final destination was estimated to be 75 days.

Though the transhumants report having a fixed route to rally their final destination, it is nevertheless clear as the transit areas change from year to year. The status of the herd is another variable to be considered by the transhumance during transit. The stay in a community varies with the physical condition of the animals and the parameters mentioned above. In order not to tire the animals, distances generally do not exceed 15 km per day.

The Gambia

For the transhumance of rainy season, the resident herds depart just before the start of the rainy season. In Nianija and Niamina East, respectively 84.2% and 46.4% of herders say that the starting period is the ideal time to release the space. The departure is scheduled appropriately to prevent damage to crops because livestock trails are generally insufficient or inappropriate. In Niamina East, the transhumance is also to avoid mosquitoes.

For the transhumance of dry season, animals leave at the end of the rainy season or very early at the beginning of dry season. At destination territories, local rules require that animals can enter in the rice fields and floodplains only when the rice harvesting is fully completed.

Some herders (5.4% of respondents in Niamina East and Nianija and 3.3% in Kiang West) go on transhumance in the middle of the dry season after assessing whether the exhaustion of the feed and the drying up of ponds will occur or not. These departures are also linked to bush fires which destroy the dried biomass. This period was cited by 5.4% of respondents in Niamina East and Nianija against 3.3% in Kiang West.

Another small proportion of herders also go on transhumance at the end of the dry season (respectively 3.3% and 2.6% of Nianija and Kiang West), with the objective to seek fresh grass in areas where it raining already.

Transhumance can last three months but the transit time is a few hours and rarely exceeds 24 hours because the actual distance to the final destination of transhumance is usually short. Most herds go on transhumance in the region of Central River and reach their destination in one day.

In the Gambia, no license/authorization is required to herders for their stay in final destination. However, it was reported by 6.8% of transhumant herders of East Niamina that a license is sometimes required for transhumants going in parts of Senegal. License fees vary from four hundred to one thousand Gambian dalasi (from GMD400 to GMD1000).

In Guinea

In Guinea, the transit takes 2 to 10 days and the stay in the host areas varies between 3 to 4 months. The duration of the longest transit is observed in the Gaoual zone with an average of 9 days. The main transit areas are: Koumbia (prefecture of Karina) for herders from Koumbia, and Dandé Féfiné in Wendou M'Borou for herders from Missira (Prefecture Télimélé). In areas of Dinguiraye, Beyla, Mandiana, Siguiri and Lola, the transhumance is internal and the displacements are between neighboring localities.

3.4.8. Period of arrival and departure of transhumant on sites

Transhumant usually arrive at the sites in the Gambia at the end of the rainy season or beginning of dry season in the opinion of 96.4% of herders of Niamina East, 55.2% Nianija of and 11.7% of Kiang West.

The arrival just before the start of the rainy season was mentioned by 20% of herders in Kiang West, 10.5% in Nianija and 1.8% in Niamina East.

Some breeders arrive during the middle of the dry season (according to 34.2% of herders of Nianija, 3.2% of those of Kiang West and 1.8% of those of Niamina East). The relatively high percentage of 34.2% observed Nianija could be related to the presence of a system of access to grazing fields, swamps and rice fields that is well organized. The herds are allowed to enter these areas until 15 March when rice is fully harvested and the product removed from the fields. However before getting access to the rice fields, the arriving herds should be parked on the plots of food crop plots (groundnut, maize, millet) already harvested to fertilize them

The herds that came in transhumance in the Gambia sites usually return just before the rains start (according to 86.8% of respondents in Nianija, 67.9% in Niamina East and 13.3% in West Kiang). This departure is planned in order to reduce the risk of conflicts with residents of the host zone and transit zones during the growing season.

The herds that arrived inside the district for the transhumance of rainy season usually return to their original territory at the end of the rainy season to access floodplains and rice fields, crop residues and water of good quality. This date of return was cited by 30.8% of the herders in Niamina-East, 7.9% in Nianija and 8.3% in Kiang West.

In Mali, the transhumants arrive in the sites during the end of the rainy season or at the beginning of dry season. Increasingly, even before the end of the harvest, transhumants with their large cattle numbers invade sites. They remain in the vicinity of villages and feed their cattle with crop residues. This situation is criticized by village leaders but encouraged by some residents.

At the beginning of the rainy season or during the sowing period, transhumants cross the sites studied, causing enormous damage to seedlings.

Table 19: Season of arrival of transhumant in the sites surveyed in Mali

Arrival période	Percentage (%) of respondents per municipality						
	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1
Just before or early rainy season	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0
End of season rains or early dry season	100%	100%	33%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Middle of dry season	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0
Another period of the year	0%	0%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0
Total	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

3.4.9. Knowledge and compliance with local management rules by transhumants

The Gambia

The code of conduct is present in details in the local conventions on sustainable management of natural resources. Significant parts of transhumants are aware of the existence of the code of conduct (according to 70% of herders in Niamina East, 48% in Nianija and 36% in Kiang West). However, very few number of transhumants fully respect it (2% are in full compliance in Kiang West, 31% in Niamina East and 30% at Nianija).

Local conventions on sustainable management of natural resources took effect in all three districts since August 2012. They constitute a set of agreements made by the community with the support of stakeholders to operate and manage the shared natural resources. The use of these conventions is envisaged to support enforcement of regulations and control of bush fires, illegal tree cutting, unsustainable water use and overgrazing. The agreements provide a framework for the regulation of transhumance in the village. It is therefore very important to increase awareness of stakeholders involved in transhumance.

Senegal

In the project sites, the land use plans (LUP) have been developed to help communities to manage the land and natural resources. Thus, the livestock tracks and grazing areas have been delineated and actions have been undertaken to facilitate the implementation of measures taken. It is for this purpose that the host committees were established in each village to improve reception of transhumants through the promotion of dialogue. The committee's role is to welcome the transhumance, educate them on the village's rules, to facilitate their integration into society.

The LUP has reduced conflicts between herders and indigenous farmers. By contrast, although included in the LUP, the transhumance of Sahelian herders is often a source of conflicts in some host villages. Indeed according to the interviews with the actors, there is a lack of communication with transhumants about the LUP. In some villages the host committees are not enough dynamic to play a full role in the management of transhumance. The workshop on transhumance also found that some chiefs of village and members of host committees are colluding with transhumants. The lodgers of transhumants are also indexed because some do not declare the transhumants they host to the committee or to the chief of the village.

The revitalization of the host committee and the selection of its members are required to better manage transhumance. It should also involve the population and in particular owners in the prevention and resolution of conflicts between indigenous and transhumants.

Drilling management is entrusted to the ASUFOR through an agreement with the municipality. The difference in the price of water is practiced to give a favor to members who have paid for the initial investment. This price difference is a source of conflict between transhumants and drilling management

committee. The non-payment of dues by transhumants suggest to the management committees to set high prices or prohibit the watering of livestock of such transhumants in drilling.

Mali

Pastoral resources are governed by the law 01-004 of 27/02/2001 relative to pastoral charter. This law defines the basic principles and general rules governing the exercise of pastoral activities in the Republic of Mali. The law dedicates and specifies the basic rights of pastors, especially in terms of mobility of animals and access to pastoral resources. It also defines the main obligations in the exercise of pastoral activities, especially with regard to environmental preservation and respect for the property of others. It is applied mainly to pastoral ruminant. The aspects related to animal health, livestock management and marketing are excluded from the scope of this legislation.

In practice, the pastoral exploitation (grazing grasses, trees) is an approach that involves local authorities in charge of management (village institutions, municipality, administrative and technical services). The LUP of sites were developed by PROGEBE and constitute the tools of resource management in general and transhumance in particular.

Guinea

Overall, the transhumance code is little known in the opinion of 77% of surveyed herders and 73% of key actors. However 96% of herders know the veterinary requirements of transhumance and 54% comply fully with them against 46% who do comply only partially. Key actors have a similar view.

Ignorance of code of transhumance by the herders varies, but remains high: 82% at Gaoual, 73% at Dinguiraye, 72% at Beyla and 69% at Mandiana. However, the level of knowledge of veterinary requirements is 94.5% at Gaoual, 93% at Dinguiraye, 86% at Mandiana / Siquiri and 50% at Beyla. Moreover 57.7% of herders of Dinguiraye, 55% in Gaoual and 50% in Mandiana are in accordance with veterinary requirements, against 36% of herders in Beyla.

The development and implementation of LUP within 3 primary sites, the markup of the main transhumance routes in Gaoual and Dinguiraye, construction of small water points with management committees established along the transhumance axes by PROGEBE, will allow communities to adopt local rules and code of conduct, to better manage the transhumance.

3.4.10. Intensity of transhumance practiced on sites by non-residents.

The Gambia

In Kiang West in the Gambia, the level of transhumance practiced by non - residents is low and concerns only a part of the district according to 53.3% of herders. This is because access to district is difficult and the district is remote from major sources of transhumant herds. Also, neighboring territories (other districts of Gambia or Casamance region of Senegal), don't face to high fodder shortage. The third reason is that water is a real constraint to livestock production in this district.

In Niamina East, transhumance is widely practiced by 95% of respondents. There is however a few small corners of the district who do not welcome transhumants according to 5% of respondents. This district is frequented by herders from the "Upper River" region of the Gambia and herders from Senegal. The relative abundance of feed in the lowlands along rivers and water availability for livestock during the dry season are the factors that attract transhumant.

According to 100% of herders, Nianija is a place that welcomes largely the transhumants non-native of this district. This is due to the abundant availability of fodder in well-known pastures of dry season such as islands and rice fields.

Mali

Transhumance is very pronounced in the sites of Tousseguela and the municipality of Garalo in the site of Manankoro. Transhumants in transit or at destination have the lodgers in the villages and the herd stays away from dwellings.

In the past five years, the transit or arrival of transhumance has decreased in the site of Madina Diassa. This situation is due to a conflict that caused one death between residents and transhumant agro-pastoralists.

The transhumance is prominent in the municipalities of Fakola, Tousseguela, Garalo, Cousin and Gadougou1. The municipality of Gouanan hardly knows transhumance by now.

Table 20: Intensity of transhumance in Mali according to herders surveyed (%) in the sites of Mali

Sites	Madina Diassa		Manankoro		Toussekela		Sagabary	All
Municipality	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1	
Intensity								
High	0	30	50	0	100	100	17	42
Meduim	0	0	25	0	0	0	44	10
Poor	0	0	25	70	0	0	0	14
No transhumance	100	70	0	30	0	0	39	34
TOTAL	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

Source: *Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea*

Senegal

In the sites of Senegal, the intensity of transhumance is variously appreciated. Throughout the study sites, it differs depending on the role played by the villages. Generally, the population agrees on the average intensity of transhumance (60% of respondents) while 24% believe it is very high and 16% considering it low. The intensity is more marked in host villages (34% very high, 58% medium and 8% lower) than in the villages of transit of transhumants (35% low; 62.5% medium, 2.5% very high).

According to forest services and livestock services of Kédougou, the intensity is very high, because there is a strong pressure on natural resources in particular on certain protected species and important species of the habitat of ERL.

Guinea

In Guinea, 36% of herders surveyed in reception areas feel that the intensity of transhumance is moderate, 31% believe it is very high, while 30% say it is poor.

The appreciation of the intensity of transhumance is variable depending on the site. It is very high in Dinguiraye (78%) for this great herding zone covered in its majority by lateritic plateaus "Bowes" which dry very quickly forcing herders to take their animals to the edge of Tinkisso River, which is the only place of transhumance. This trend is also observed in the Gaoual zone (63%) where the only transhumance zone is "Bilintiwol" at a border with Guinea-Bissau, which receives both the herds from Téliimélé and Gaoual.

At Mandiana/Siguiriri, the intensity is moderate (54%); while 44% and 96% of respondents respectively, say it is low in Beyla and Lola.

These herders' opinions of respondents corroborate those key actors who believe that it high (30% of respondents), moderate (31%) or low (39%).

Compared to the surveyed areas, the assessment of the intensity of transhumance by key actors varies. At Gaoual 100% of key actors interviewed believe that it is moderate; respectively 78.5% and 47.5% believe that it is very high at Dinguiraye and Beyla; while the majority respectively in Lola and Mandiana (88% and 54.5%) says it is low.

3.4.11. Interactions between resident animals and transhumant animals and penetration of Sahelian breeds in the farms located in the ERL territory

The intensity of interactions is a factor favoring uncontrolled cross-breeding and transmission of transboundary animal diseases. The transhumant livestock confinement vis à vis of local livestock greatly reduces the risk of cross-breeding between transhumant cattle and ERL.

In the Gambia, herders of Kiang West who participated in transhumance stated that the interactions between resident and indigenous animals are essentially limited (50% of respondents), intense (33.3%) or non-existent (16.7%). In Niamina East, these interactions are limited (10.7% of respondents), intense

(53.6%) or non-existent (35.8%), while in Nianija they are limited (10.5%), severe (52.6%) or non-existent (36.8%).

In Senegal, the penetration of different breeds of ERL is still low. On a total of 184 cattle observed the zebu breeds or their crossbreds were found in 14. The presence of Sahelian sheep or their crossbreds was observed within 6.2% of herds studied. Sahelian breeds of goats and their crossbreds were in 2.4% of herds. These results confirm the predominance of ERL.

The introduction of Sahelian breeds in these areas is recent: 8 years for cattle, 3 years for sheep and 6 years for goats. The aim of cross-breeding is to obtain animals with large size and higher productivity of milk and meat. Although the phenomenon is still limited to few herders, it could increase with economic opportunities or if the ecology becomes more favorable to Sahelian breeds (Table 21).

Table 21: Modes of integration of other breeds in the herd in Senegal

	Cattle	Sheep	Goat
By purchase	64,29%	50,00%	75,00%
Accidentally	28,57%	25,00%	25,00%
Received as gift	0,00%	12,50%	0,00%
Exchange of animals	7,14%	12,50%	0,00%
Total	100,00%	100,00%	100,00%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In Mali, the terms and the reasons for the introduction of non-ERL breeds vary from one municipality to another (Table 22, Table 23, Table 24). Non-ERL cattle was introduced in certain municipalities more than 30 years ago and in others only 5 years ago. The introduction process is then still in progress.

Table 22 : Number of years passed since introduction of non-ERL breeds in the farm in Mali

	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1
Non-ERL cattle	15	5	8	10	20	> 30	15
Non-ERL sheep	10	5	7	-	15	11	20
Non-ERL goats	20						

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Table 23: Mode of introduction of Non-ERL ruminants in Mali

Mode introduction	Percentage (%) of respondents per municipality						
	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1
Non ERL cattle							
By purchase	50%	82%	53%	87,5%	47,62	91%	50
By gift	0%	0%	0%	12,5%	0%	0%	0
By exchanges of animals	25%	0%	0%	0%	4,76	9%	0
Accidentally	19%	18%	35%	0%	47,62	0%	50
Other	6%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0
Non ERL sheep							
By purchase	57%	64%	53%	67%	35%	100%	34%
By gift	0%	27%	0%	0%	29%	0%	8%
By exchanges of animals	29%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	32%
Accidentally	7%	9%	35%	33%	36%	0%	26%
Other	7%	0%	12%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Non ERL sheep							
By purchase	-	-	-	67%	36%	-	34%
By gift	-	-	-	0%	28%	-	8%
By exchanges of animals	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	32%
Accidentally	-	-	-	33%	36%	-	26%
Other	-	-	-	0%	0%	-	0%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Table 24: Reasons of the adoption of non ERL animals in the municipalities of Mali

Reasons	Percentage (%) of respondents per municipality						
	Gouanan	Koussan	Garalo	Sibirila	Fakola	Tousséguéla	Gadougou1
Reasons of adoption of non ERL cattle							
Economic	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	58
Productivity and price	0%	0%	0%	0%	-	100%	26
Productivity	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	0%	16
Reasons of adoption of non ERL sheep							
Economic	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	58
Productivity and price	50%	50%	-	-	-	-	42
Productivity	50%	50%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-
Reasons of adoption of non ERL goats							
Economic	-	100%	0%	100%	100%	0%	58
Productivity and price	50%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	42
Productivity	50%	0%	0%	0%	-	0%	-

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In Guinea, particularly in the municipalities of Gaoual and Dinguiraye, the presence of breeds other than the ERL (N'Dama cattle, sheep and goats Djallonké) is not apparent. The geographical location of these two sites does not favor the penetration of Sahelian breeds. All attempts to introduce these breeds have ended in failure because of the high tsetse pressure and other diseases. Introductions of non-ERL breeds were mainly operated by purchase (76.09% of respondents herders) or accidentally (10.87%). The predominance of ERL in these areas is clearly marked because it is his birthplace.

By extrapolation, areas of Beyla, Lola and Mandiana own breeds other than N'Dama. According to the survey data, the introduction of zebu dates back to 16 years at Lola, 10 years in Mandiana and 5 years in Beyla. However, it should be noted that the presence of crossbreeds Zebu x N'Dama is particularly noticed in Upper Guinea (Mandiana / Sigiri) since decades. These unstructured cross-breedings have resulted in the creation of a sub-breed named "Mere" well known by herders.

There are several methods of introduction of zebu in these sites according to herders interviewed : by purchase (83% of respondents in Mandiana, 50% in Beyla and Lola); accidentally (28.5% in Lola, 25% in Beyla and 2.8% in Mandiana); by exchange of animals (25% in Beyla, 14% in Lola and 2.8% in Mandiana).

According to herders' opinion, the adoption of non-endemic breeds is to:

- i) Improve the productivity of their herd (77% of respondent in Mandiana ; 61.5% in Lola; 11.5% in Beyla) ;
- ii) Family habits (15.3% in Lola ; 9.6% in Mandiana ; 7.6% in Beyla) ;
- iii) Improving animal labor force (Mandiana 10%);
- iv) Docility (Lola 7.6%);
- v) Proximity to non-endemic herds (9.6% of respondents in Mandiana, 7.6% in Lola);
- vi) Affection (9.6% in Mandiana);
- vii) Better market value (7.6% in Beyla)

3.4.12. Significant advantages of each breed

The main advantages of N'Dama according to herders' opinions are the following: trypanotolerance capacities; disease resistance, adaptation to the environment, working capacity (Table 25). The disadvantages concern the low milk and meat productivity and the low income from this breeding.

Table 25: Main advantages and disadvantages of ruminants breeds according to the view of herders in Mali

Breeds	Advantages	Disadvantages
Cattle		
N'Dama	Rusticity	Low selling price
Zebu	Good production of milk and meat	Poor capacities of adaptation
Crossbreds (N'dama x Zebus)	Large size and less resistant	Poor hardiness
Other breeds	-	-
Sheep		
peul-peul (Fulani)	High production	Not hardy/rustic
Djallonke	Hardiness/rusticity	Low selling price
Crossbreds (Djallonké x other breeds)	Large size	Poor hardiness / rusticity
Other breeds	-	-
Goat		
Sahelian breeds	High production	Not hardy/rustic
Djallonke	Hardiness/rusticity	Low selling price
Crossbreds (Djallonke x other breed)	Large size	Poor hardiness / rusticity
Other breeds	-	-

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

Table 26 : Advantages and disadvantages of N'Dama according to the opinion of herders in Guinea

	Gaoual	Dinguiraye	Beyla	Lola	Mandiana/Siguir
Advantages					
Resistant to diseases	-		60.3%	95%	85.4%
Good quality of the meat			29.3%	4.7%	-
Adequate work force		-	10.3%	-	14.5%
Disadvantages					
Low production of meat		47.2%	42.5%	34%	45.7%
Low production of milk	-	52.7%	56.25%	38.6%	54.2%
Slow growth		-	1.25%	22.7%	-
Small size				4.5%	

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In the Gambia, 98% of surveyed herders prefer herds with exclusively N'Dama purebred animals.

In Senegal, according to the departmental inspector of livestock of Kédougou, indigenous people prefer the ERL. The Sahelian breeds are only purchased by people from the north, or when the ERL runs out on the market. However the massive introduction of exotic species in the urban center of Kédougou is likely to have knock-on effects on nearby locations including Bandafassi if an adequate sensitization of herders is not done.

3.5. ADVERSE EFFECTS OF THE TRANSHUMANCE

3.5.1. Adverses effects on animal resources

The effects of transhumance on animal resources are viewed with mixed feelings by herders. Several disadvantages are reported and the extent of appreciation will varies depending on the location. The transhumant livestock is considered as being a transmitter of diseases harmful to local livestock and as being a genetic dilution factor of local breeds.

In Senegal, 33% of respondents argue that since the arrival of transhumance, there is a resurgence of diseases such as PPR (peste des petits ruminants) in small ruminants and ovine pasteurellosis. Mange also occurs after the departure of transhumants. These diseases cause high mortality in small ruminants.

In the Gambia, the resurgence of CBPP in 2012 after 41 years of absence is considered coming from transhumance. Failure to full compliance with veterinary standards by transhumant before their moving bears a risk of contamination for local livestock. Indeed the majority of transhumance did not report to Department of Livestock Services prior to settling in the host territory, while others did not even respect prophylaxis measures before their departure.

Moreover, in order to reduce the risk of contamination of their cattle by local animal, some transhumants keep their flock in isolation. According to some livestock farmers of Senegal, the risk of contracting the disease during transhumance is higher for Sahelian breeds than for local livestock (ERL breeds).

In Mali, adverse effects are mainly linked to crossbreeding of zebu and local breeds that weakens the hardiness of descendants and facilitate the spread of diseases. Transhumance contributed to a genetic dilution of endemic ruminant livestock (ERL) because the presence of transhumants increases the interest of indigenious to own zebu and facilitates their acquisition. To date, small ruminants are not affected by this dynamic.

The roaming of resident animals and the sharing of the same grasslands and watering spots favor the undesired cross-breeding between ERL and zebu breeds. The adaptation of zebus to sub-humid ecology following the decrease of tsetse and other biting insects increases the opportunities of crossing of zebu with the local breeds.

In Guinea, the rate of spread of diseases is favored by transhumance but is mostly linked to low vaccination coverage in some areas (Table 27).

Table 27 : Disadvantages of transhumance on animal resources (ERL) according to herders of Guinea

	Gaoual	Dinguiraye	Beyla	Lola	Siguiiri/Mandiana
Disease propagation	66,6%	35%	43,75%	36,8%	61,8%
Alteration of genetic capacities due to uncontrolled crossing	-	5,4%	56,55%	63%	38,1%
Death of animals	33%	59,4%	-	-	-

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

The high rate of spread of diseases in Gaoual is due to low immunization coverage and high animal density. Some individual herders with nearly 1 000 heads of cattle. At Dinguiraye, the relative low spread of diseases is due to the effect of periodical vaccination campaigns that are often performed to control the endemicity of CBPP. In the sites of Beyla, Lola, Siguiiri and Mandiana, CBPP is endemic. Despite vaccination campaigns, the risk of persistence of this disease is high with the introduction of zebu cattle who are asymptomatic carriers. The movement of zebu cattle in these areas favors their contact with the N'Dama cattle, which is a significant factor of contamination and spread of the disease.

The high rate of alteration of genetic traits in areas Beyla, Lola, Mandiana and Siguiiri is explained by the presence of zebu herds that use the same route as the local livestock, and this favors the uncontrolled cross-breedings.

3.5.2. Adverse effects on natural resources and forage

Overgrazing, cutting of tree branches, bush fires, destruction of crops, disturbance of soil, competition for access to water, erosion and environmental pollution are the main negative effects of transhumance. The magnitude of each effect varies across countries and sites.

Table 28 : Opinion of herders on the negative effects of transhumance in Guinea

Type of effects	Gaoual	Dinguiraye	Beyla	Lola	Mandiana/ Siguiiri
Degradation of agricultural soil	7.14%	-	-	7.69%	14.6%
Bush fires	10.71%	-		7.7%	5.6%
Degradation of pastures and grasslands	3.57%	8.3%	8.3%	2.5%	7.9%
Destruction of watering points	4.34%	-	4.34%	12.8%	12%
Degradation of forest	7.14%	-	-	17.9%	-
Destruction of trees and forage	7.14%	-	-	2.5%	34.83%
Destruction of farms	3.75%	-	-	2.5%	2.24%
Drying up of rivers (erosion and trampling)	21.42%	16.66%	25%	2.5%	12.35%
Deforestation	47.82%	8.3%	-	-	3.57%
Pruning of trees	8.69%				14.28%
Destruction of natural resources by grazing and trampling	10.71%	-	-	2.5%	3.37%

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In Guinea, the main drawbacks associated with the practice of transhumance concern the environmental destruction by bush fires, trampling, deforestation, pruning the trees and shrubs.

In Senegal, among the negative practices of transhumance are the following are improper pruning of trees (in 90% of respondents); bush fires, the overuse of water resources, and overgrazing. Respondents also felt that transhumance also decreases the palatable species (85%) and increases shortage (11%).

These phenomena lead to medium-term degradation of forest, loss of vegetation (brush fires), drying up of temporary water points and the accentuation of erosion. Therefore, maintaining the balance of ERL ecology is necessary for the sustainable management and development of these breeds. "The penetration of Sahelian breeds in northern part of the department of Vélingara in Senegal is an indication of the rupture of the ecology and environment that was preventing the invasion of Sahelian breeds". The extent of this environmental damage led parks' officials to apply repressive measures against transhumants. The transhumants seen by forest officials in possession of axes or machetes are obliged to pay a contravention of about 40,000 FCFA to 300,000 Fcfa.

In the Gambia, a significant proportion of herders (60.5% in Nianija, 39.2% in Niamina-East and 30% in Kiang West) are not aware of the harmful effects of transhumance on the environment. The bush fires are recurrent and can be avoided if public education campaigns and sensitization of stakeholders on risky practices are organized. Overgrazing has been reported in three districts according to 26.3% of respondents in Nianija, 23.2% in Niamina-East and 3.3% in Kiang West.

The destruction of crops by transhumant herds was reported in Niamina-East (by 3.6% of respondents) and Nianija (13.1% of respondents) as an adverse effect of transhumance. Factors that underpin them are: the disappearance of traditional cattle tracks, expansion of rice cultivation and encroachment on traditional lowlands pastures. Competition for water has also been reported in Kiang West (8.3% of respondents) and Niamina-East (3.5% of respondents). The pollution due to dust was reported in Niamina East.

In Mali, the negative effects on natural resources mainly concern deforestation. Uncontrolled cutting of branches and even trunks of some trees to feeding the flock is the main problem. The cut branches dry up and facilitate the spread of wildfires. According to 100% of respondents, the deforestation linked to the cutting of branches and shrubs is mostly made by transhumants. They are also the main authors of the flora destruction and are cited as being at the origin of forest bushfires.

3.5.3. Negative socio-economic effects and conflicts in host sites

The transhumance has disadvantages on socio-economic activities of the localities involved (Table 29). Social conflicts come from different sources: damages caused by transhumant animals on the resources, problems of access to water resources, and to a lesser extent the problems related to the theft of animals and to zoonoses. In some places the high cost of living resulting from the increase in the price of basic commodities in this period is considered as a major drawback. Indeed, transhumants also unbalance prices

by paying more for the products, resulting in food shortages especially after their departure from the host territories. These negative effects are variable depending on the country.

Table 29 : Socioeconomic disadvantages of transhumance according to actors in Guinea

Type of disadvantage	Gaoual	Dinguiraye	Beyla	Lola	Siguiiri / Mandiana
Degradation of social relationships and conflicts	33.3%	72%	29.8%	31.6%	20.5%
Destruction of crops	13.3%	4.6%	28.3%	52.7%	17.6%
Increase of the living cost	40%	-	1.4%	5.5%	20.5%
Theft and loss of animals	13.3%	18.6%	1.4%	-	41.1%
Non respect of conventions and reduction of cropping areas	-	4.6%	38.8%	-	-

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

In Guinea, the prevalence of conflicts in the area of Dinguiraye is due to the fact that there are two distinct categories of stakeholders: crop farmers and herders except in the localities of Gaoual, Beyla and Siguiiri / Mandiana where the agropastoralists are more found. At Lola a location mainly dominated by crop farmers, conflicts are mitigated due to the strong involvement of livestock farmers in local development despite the high rate of destruction of crops (according to 52.7% of respondents). At Gaoual the remoteness and isolation of host zones contribute significantly to the rising of living cost.

In Senegal, social conflicts are the main negative social effect of transhumance (according to 40% of respondents). The negative economic effects are related to the fact that Sahelian animals strongly competes the ERL in livestock markets. Butchers and cattle dealers prefer the Sahelian animals for their larger size and most important gain on sale. During their presence, the local livestock (small ruminants) is relegated to second place. The incomes of indigenous people coming from gathering are also adversely affected by improper pruning and cutting of trees. Over 90% of respondents claim that the destruction of trees affects the local population. For 65% of them this destruction negatively affects the income of loggers and for 20% of them, it affects negatively the food source of local population.

In social plan, the host population considers that transhumants don't want to integrate themselves into the local society, because they do not respect the rules established in the villages. The transhumants feel to be unloved by indigenous that see them as strangers and do not give them full rights to access the resources of the locality. The transhumance also causes school wastage because some children of the host village (according to 10% of respondents) drop out of school to follow the transhumants.

In the Gambia, the case of conflicts with host communities have not been reported in Kiang West, while in Niamina-East and Nianija respectively 7.4% and 5.5% of herders have reported cases of conflicts. They were mostly related to damage caused by cattle on crops in fields, to non compliance with the cattle tracks, or to the reluctance of some herders to fertilize fields. The presence of transhumant also leads to marital conflicts. In Nianija, a system was put in place to reduce conflicts with rice growers and maximize the benefits associated with the presence of transhumant. The herders are not allowed to go in the rice fields

before March 15 of each year. This allows the harvesting and transport of rice. However, herders are required to come to the villages before this period in order to use their herd to fertilize the fields of corn and millet.

In Mali, transhumance is generally viewed negatively by agropastoralists of the studied sites. They criticize the bad behavior of the shepherds. There has also been a gradual substitution of the N'Dama by the Zebu in some farms for economic reasons. To date, small ruminants are not much affected. The arrival of transhumance is also accompanied by social conflicts and manners' problems such as rape of women and cattle rustling.

3.6 POSITIVE EFFECTS OF TRANSHUMANCE

The practice of transhumance has positive effects on production systems and socio-economic activities in the territories of origin of ERL.

3.6.1. Effects on production systems and recycling of biomass

The studied areas practice two types of transhumance that influence local production systems (natural territory of ERL). The great transhumance is mostly the fact of Sahelian breeders arriving in the studied sites. Beyond the many drawbacks previously presented, this transhumance, when properly coordinated can have positive effects on the host territories and on the population. The small transhumance by cons is practiced by herders of host territories on small distances that do not reach 100 km in the dry season. It allows during dry season, exploitation of crop residues (rice plains, lowland pastures) and access to best watering points, and in rainy season the release of growing areas. It has less negative impact on the ERL than the great transhumance. It is more performed between the territories belonging to the same country or located at the border.

Overall, the two types of transhumance contribute in different ways to the improvement of production systems practiced in the study area:

- Improvement of forage and water for ERL in the dry season
- Fertilization of plots in host territories by transhumant when they respect local conventions or grazing contracts offered by indigenous
- Release of space, improved crop-livestock integration, and reduction of pest pressure

3.6.2 Socio-economic advantages of transhumance in host territories

In host territories, the arrival of transhumance has many positive socio-economic effects, the main ones:

- Improvement of revenue of farmers through better fertilization of plots and best yields. In Mali it is performed through the establishment of manure contracts. In the Gambia, it is recognized that the yields and farm incomes are much higher for farmers of the communities receiving the transhumants than for other farmers.
- The participation and contribution of transhumants to the achievement of certain social infrastructures (mosques, schools) or maintenance of infrastructures. In Senegal, for example, to access drillings, the transhumants can pay a lump sum from 2,500 FCFA to 4,000 FCFA per flock/month or 15 000 FCFA to 40 000 FCFA per year/herd.
- The social integration which translates weddings, homonyms between people of different families, and the establishment of close personal and family ties with the host over the years.
- Increase of the activity of merchants, cattle dealers and butchers due to the supply to the local market with more livestock.
- The provision of milk, meat, living animals.
- The provision of veterinary products to local population for animal care although these products are often of dubious origin and quality.
- The contribution of transhumants in daily expenses of foster families and contribution to the social effort in case of disaster or event in the host village.

The magnitude of the benefits of transhumance can vary from one territory to another. In Guinea, for example, the benefits of transhumance on the local socio-economic development are at various levels as evidenced by the case of Guinea (Table 30).

Table 30: Advantages of transhumance according to actors surveyed in Guinea

	Gaoual	Dinguiraye	Beyla	Lola	Siguiro/Mandiana
Contribution to local development	-	-	48.75%	55.1%	-
Supply of animals and animal products	39.4%	51%	75%	37.9%	77%
Social integration	18.4%	2.3%	6.25%	-	22.8%
Increase of income	18.4%	4.6%	27.5%	-	-
Exchange of experiences	18.4%	39.5%	2.5%	-	-

Source: Transhumance study (2014) in The Gambia, Senegal, Mali, Guinea

The transhumance thus offers advantages for certain production systems and the populations of host areas. However these benefits remain localized in specific areas where agriculture-livestock integration has been shaped by history or by the proper application of management tools (LUP, local conventions, grazing contracts, manure contracts, etc.). Without a widespread application of these management tools and extension of crop-livestock integration, the negative effects of transhumance both on animal resources, natural resources and indigenous peoples continue to dominate largely its positive effects.

The accompanying measures must be taken to generalize and intensify the positive effects of transhumance on resources and actors of host territories. The strategies and actions to improve transhumance must be done simultaneously with those aiming at mitigating the negative effects of transhumance.

3.7. SYNTHESIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING NEGATIVELY THE CONSERVATION OF ERL AT REGIONAL LEVEL

The negative impacts of transhumance on the conservation of ERL identified at country level are linked to a combination of factors which most importantly are the following:

- The transhumance is neither regulated nor monitored throughout the PROGEBE zone and this greatly increases the risk of introduction of transboundary animal diseases and represents a real health threat to the population of endemic ruminant livestock. This situation is compounded by low vaccination coverage of animals.
- The reproduction of animals is poorly controlled by the majority of herders, and that increases the risk of undesired crossbreeding between Sahelian breeds and ERL breeds.
- Virtually all indigenous herders have the perception that the Sahelian breeds have a high production potential and a higher economic return than the ERL. The voluntary crossbreedings are increasingly carried out by the indigenous herders in order to increase the number of crossbreeds, especially in Mali but also in Senegal.
- The conversion of sub-humid savannas and wetlands is an important factor favoring the influx and settlement of transhumant herders coming from the Sahel, and adoption of Sahelian breeds by local people.
- Overgrazing, the poor pruning practices, excessive cutting of trees and bush fires also lead to degradation of natural resources. These phenomena are accompanied by water pollution due to the high density of livestock in watering points, accentuation of erosion, habitat degradation, environmental pollution, modification of flora and occurrence of unpalatable plant species.
- The lack of a structured and specialized economic sector in the valuation of ERL and its products (meat, live animals) is a big limiting factor to its conservation and development.

- Although transhumance is an important means of linking socio-economic actors in the areas of transit and destination, however, it remains a source of tension and conflict between transhumants and local population.
- The participatory management tools and resources developed by PROGEBE are not yet fully implemented in the sites studied.
- The policy of livestock sector in the three countries remains weak against issues that are growing around the management of animal genetic resources including the protection / conservation of endemic breeds, equitable and sustainable management of resources including the conservation of pastures of dry season located nearby the basins of rice production.
- The absence of local adaptation/application of West African regulations on the cross-border transhumance, including the ECOWAS Action Plan on transhumance adopted in 2011 by ECOWAS. These provisions recognize the right of pastoralists to move their herds from one region to another, to protect their access to water in settled agricultural areas and facilitate cross-border trades.

3.8. PROPOSITION OF MITIGATION AND RISK MANAGEMENT MEASURES

To mitigate and manage threats and negative effects of transhumance on the ERL, all the tools available at the regional (the ECOWAS Action Plan), national (national policies, plans applicable to transhumance, sectoral laws and regulations on the management of space and resources) and local (LUP, local conventions, monitoring committees) levels, must be mobilized and additional actions put in place to facilitate their implementation

The fairer mechanisms should also be put in place to involve transhumant in the phases of creation and management of resources (specifically the new water points, improved pastures) to avoid the tensions that exist around those resources. These tensions restrict / prevent the collaboration between the actors of transhumance.

The principal operational actions to put in place to control and attenuate the negative effects of transhumance on the conservation of ERL stand on:

- Diffusion, revitalization, dissemination and application of the rules and existing management tools: PAOS (plans of affectation and occupation of soils); forest code, local Conventions; LOA (agricultural orientation law); pastoral charter; reception committee of transhumants; fire brigade against bush fires; participative disposition for sanitary surveillance of transhumant livestock.
- Development of avenues for dialogue between the transhumants and the local community leaders of the reception zones for the co-management of transhumance (understanding on the dates and the itineraries of transhumance, facilitation of the access of the transhumant to the residues of cultures and grazing in the season dry, access of the natives to animal manure through the penning of animals, etc.).

- Implication of local and administrative authorities and technical services in the departure zones of transhumant to sensitize them better before they leave and in the reception zones to improve the local management of the transhumance.
- Putting in place and/or the building of capacities of the processes for the follow-up of transhumant in the zones of departure, on transit and in the reception zones.
- Sensitization and the implication of the transhumant breeders on the management of the environment (fight against bush fires, fight against the abusive felling of trees and the bad practices of pruning the trees, etc.).
- Putting in place of disease control and prevention systems to secure the transhumance. In this light, a veterinary certificate providing proof of the vaccination of the herd against the preoccupying illnesses could be required from the transhumant.
- Improvement of the availability in water (creation / development of water points) and in fodder (pastoral amenities, cultured fodder, better collection and conservation of crop residues) and the setting up of a management/regulation system taking into account the holding capacity of the different types of resources.
- Development of tracks for livestock to facilitate the movement of the animals toward the sites of grazing and drinking, in order to reduce the damages on crops and on other resources, and to limit the sectorial conflicts.
- Creation of agencies for the promotion of ERL and the products and services coming from their rearing.
- Diffusion and rigorous application of the regulations on the exploitation of natural resources.

IV. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMANDATIONS

The transhumance carried out in the zones where ERL breeds are reared plays an important role in the fertilization of agricultural land, the valorization of animal resources and socioeconomic exchanges. The positive effects of transhumance in the reception zones can be optimized on the one hand by improvement of synergies between the transhumant livestock owners and the local populations, and on the other hand, through the promotion of breeding systems and resource managements that are equitable, profitable and ecologically sustainable.

However, as practiced today, the transhumant systems can potentially modify the local breeding systems and threaten in the medium-term, the sustainability of the genetic heritage, the health of the animals, the herbaceous and woody natural resources of the zones of traditional rearing of ERL. These practices also threaten the incomes and social stability of the local populations.

It appears that small transhumance practiced in the dry season or in the rainy season by breeders of the Soudano-Guinean zone is more advantageous and presents little threat to the genetic heritage of ERL breeds than large transhumance of Sahelien origin occurring in the dry season. The later also involves a more important livestock which stays longer in the ERL zone, thereby contributing somehow in the fertilization of the land. The big transhumance comes with tensions and recurrent conflicts between the transhumants, agriculturists and native farmers. These tensions are often exacerbated by the non-existence, the ignorance or the violation of local rules that govern access to resources and management.

The sustainable management of transhumance and its impact in order to better preserve the genetic heritage of ERL breeds require a mastery of the main factors that negatively affect the ERL race, their habitat and populations. The factors put forward in this survey are specific and also multi-dimensional (authorized, organizational, anthropological, socioeconomic, technical and environmental).

The mastery of these factors must come with a set of innovative actions identified by this survey in order to improve the practices of transhumance as well as the systems of production of ERL and conservation/valorization of its genetic heritage.

The putting in place of these actions must come with an improvement of the political, socioeconomic and technical framework of intervention of the projects aiming for the conservation of ERL and the sustainability of the systems of breeding ERL.

Based on the above, the following main recommendations are addressed to the decision makers and their partners:

1. Implementing the existing cooperation frameworks in ECOWAS to better coordinate zootechnical and health policies related to the ruminant livestock sector
2. Making mandatory the implementation of legal texts and the dissemination / exploitation of existing management tools: PAOS (land use plans and land use); forestry code, local conventions; AOL (Agriculture orientation law); pastoral charter; committees welcoming transhumants; Brigades fighting against bush fires; participatory systems of monitoring the health of transhumant livestock; etc.
3. Organizing actors, strengthening their capacities and creating consultative frameworks to better manage transhumance at different scales (territory, municipality, value chain).
4. Improving the availability and quality of resources and agro-infrastructures;
5. Supporting specific studies and action research contributing to the sustainable management of ERL, resources, territories and value chains related to ERL production systems.

V. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Agyemang, K., 2000. A review of status on trypanotolerant livestock in West and Central Africa. A final report to the ILRI. A background paper for the proposed GEF/PNUD project "in situ conservation of endemic livestock of West Africa". 105 pp.

Bâ Diao, M. 2003. Le marché du lait et produits laitiers au Sénégal, www.inter-resaux.net.

Broutin, C. (Gret), Sokona K., Tandia A (Enda graf), 2000. Paysage des entreprises et environnement de la filière lait au Sénégal, programme Inco "MPE agroalimentaires", Dakar, 57 p. www.Gret.org/income ou <http://www.infoconseil.sn/fiche-lait.html>.

ECOWAS/CEDEAO, 2010. Plan d'action pour le développement et la transformation de l'élevage dans l'espace ECOWAS/CEDEAO horizon 2011-2020. Commission de la CEDEAO/ECOWAS, Déc. 2010.

CSE, 2009. Annuaire sur l'Environnement et les Ressources Naturelles du Sénégal. Deuxième édition

Daffeh Kebba., 2014. Adverse effects of transhumance on indigenous ruminant livestock in the Gambia. National report. ITC / FAO / PROGEBE.

Décision A/Déc. 5/10/98 relative a la réglementation de la transhumance entre les Etats membres de la CEDEAO. Journal Officiel de la CEDEAO, Octobre 1998.

Diallo Hassane., 2015. Etude des effets défavorables de la transhumance sur la gestion des ressources génétiques animales des ruminants endémiques. Rapport national de Guinée. ITC - FAO – PROGEBE.

Diop A.T., Cesaro J.D., Touré I., Ickowicz A. Toutain B., 2013. Evolution des transhumances. Atlas des évolutions des systèmes pastoraux au Sahel 1970-2012. (pp15-16), FAO-CIRAD 2013.

Dongmo A. L., Vall E., Diallo., M.A., Dugué P. Njoya A., Lossouarn J., 2012. Herding territories in North Cameroon and West Burkina Faso: spatial arrangements and herd management. *Pastoralism: Research, policy and Practice* 2012, 2: 26

Duteurtre, V. 2006. Etat des lieux de la filière lait et produits laitiers au Sénégal. InfoConseil MPEA-P AOA GAGE (2011). Plan d'Occupation et d'Affectation des Sols (POAS) du Site de Sagabary. Projet de Gestion Durable du Bétail Ruminant Endémique "PROGEBE". DNEF/MEA.

Hoste C.H., Chalon E. d'Ieteren G. et Trail J.C.M., 1988. Le bétail trypanotolérant en Afrique occidentale et centrale Vol. 3 - Bilan d'une décennie

ISRA, 2003. Rapport national sur l'état des ressources zoo génétiques au Sénégal. Avril 2003.

Konaté S. Mamary., 2014. Etude des effets défavorables de la transhumance sur la gestion des ressources génétiques animales des ruminants endémiques. Rapport national du Mali. ITC - FAO – PROGEBE

Mamadou mouctar sow, 2013. Génétique animale en Guinée. www.auiibar.org/component/jdownloads/finish/65/128

Marshall, K., Ejlersen, M., Poole, J., 2011. Gestion durable du bétail ruminant endémique d'importance mondiale en Afrique de l'Ouest: Estimation des paramètres démographiques du cheptel en Guinée. ILRI, Nairobi.

Marshall, K., Ejlersen, M., Poole, J., 2011. Gestion durable du bétail ruminant endémique d'importance mondiale en Afrique de l'Ouest: Estimation des paramètres démographiques du cheptel en Guinée. ILRI, Nairobi.

Murray, M. and Trail, J.C.M., 1984. Genetic resistance to animal trypanosomiasis in Africa. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 2, 541-551.

NIANG M. et Mbaye M., 2013. Evolution des exportations de bétail malien au Sénégal suite aux récentes crises. USAID. APCAM/MSU/USAID

Osaer, S., Goossens, B., Kora, S., Gaye, M., Darboe, L., 1999. Health and productivity of traditionally managed Djallonke sheep and West African Dwarf goat under high and moderate trypanosomosis risk. Veterinary Parasitology 82, 101-119.

PROGEBE-Gambia Baseline Report, 2010

Snow, W.F., Wachter, T.J., Rawlings, P., 1996. Observations on the prevalence of trypanosomiasis in small ruminants, equines and cattle, in relation to tsetse challenge, in The Gambia. Veterinary Parasitology 66, 1-11.

Sow M.M., 2013. Génétique animale en Guinée. DNPIA, mars 2013.

Wilson, R.T., 1991. Small ruminant production and the small ruminant genetic resource in tropical Africa. FAO Animal Production and Health Paper. FAO, Rome

Thiam Bayo, 2014. Etude des effets défavorables de la transhumance sur la gestion des ressources génétiques animales des ruminants endémiques. Rapport national du Sénégal. ITC - FAO – PROGEBE